

If this is your first visit be sure to check out the frequently asked questions by clicking here FAQ. You must be registered, logged in and approved before you can post. Posting approval may take a few business days. To start viewing messages, select the forum that you want to visit from the selection below. You may have to register.

# USSS Applicants Consider Refusing a Polygraph Exam from Special Agent Ellen Ripperger

**POSTS** Search Page 1 of 1 Filter

**USSSWARNING**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Dec 2017  
Posts: 4

Share Tweet

**USSS Applicants Consider Refusing a Polygraph Exam from Special Agent Ellen Ripperger** #1

01-16-2018, 11:50 AM

There are a number of consequences to failing a polygraph exam which will not be disclosed to an applicant. Any USSS applicant should review the following true case and consider refusing to take a USSS polygraph examination, especially with Special Agent Ellen Ripperger. Should an applicant decide to go forward with a USSS polygraph exam after digesting this information, they should be aware that USSS can always assign another examiner to administer a polygraph exam at the applicant's request.

Any experience the reader may have with Special Agent Ellen Ripperger may be substantially different than that described here, however two USSS Special Agents confirmed that the experience described here was far from unique.

The applicant in question expressed gratitude to the two USSS Special Agents who contacted him after his exam, and are making continuing efforts to correct an injustice. It was not lost on this applicant the significance of two Special Agents choosing to support an applicant to their organization that they did not know personally, but who felt was treated improperly, over that of one of their fellow Special Agents whom they both felt did not behave ethically.

When anyone takes a polygraph exam with any federal agency including the USSS, a recording is made of the entire exam. In fact, all modern Commercially Available off the Shelf (COTS) polygraph software utilized by federal agencies has both video and audio capability built into the software. The applicant will be told that the recording is made to protect both them and the Special Agent administering the exam. However, in this instance, the applicant was not provided a copy of the audio recording when they alleged that something illegal, improper, or unethical happened during their exam. The recordings have been routinely produced however to exonerate a Special Agent accused of any wrong doing during an exam. Even a FOIA request may not yield the release of the recording of an exam, and if it does anything useful will probably be redacted, and its release will take years after which any time limits for legal remedies will have long expired.

In this instance, a judge ordered the release of the audio of the polygraph exam in question which the USSS vigorously fought to suppress under "law enforcement investigatory privilege." After the USSS objection to providing the recording was overruled, the USSS provided the audio files that supposedly contained the sought after recording. However, the audio files provided by the USSS were virtually blank and contained nothing of any use or significance. Only a few minutes of the approximately five-hour-and-thirty-five-minute polygraph examination were audible.

The polygraph examinee was contacted by two individuals who identified themselves as USSS Special Agents. The agents made clear they knew intimate details of the applicant's exam. One Special Agent told the applicant he had ethical issues with how his exam was conducted.

Despite an affidavit from Danny Seiler, a former Supervisor Sergeant and Polygraph Examiner for the Maryland State Police which stated "Since 1991 in the approximate 2,500 polygraph examinations that I have given, the microphones have never failed to record the exam; nor have the exams not been recorded for any other reason", the Judge ruled against the applicant when a default judgment was requested based on the illegible polygraph audio files and chose to accept the USSS explanation that a faulty microphone caused all the pertinent areas of the audio recording of the polygraph exam to not be recorded.

A complaint was sent to the Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General (DHS OIG) John Roth (attached) requesting they investigate the Possible Destruction or Tampering of Evidence Utilizing of Government Information Technology Systems by the United States Secret Service. Multiple inquiries by Senator Chris Van Hollen finally revealed that DHS OIG chose not to investigate this matter, but instead related the matter back to the United States Secret Service Office of Professional Responsibility. Despite significant evidence submitted to DHS OIG that either the crime of perjury by a USSS Special Agent, or the destruction of evidence by USSS had more likely than not been committed by the USSS, DHS OIG chose not to investigate the matter.

Since DHS OIG has refused to investigate this matter, follow up requests for investigation of this matter have been sent to the Department of Justice, the Office of Government Ethics, and a second request to the new acting DHS OIG Inspector General John Kelly articulating concerns about how the former Inspector General John Roth of DHS OIG choose to handle this matter.

Updates with information pertaining to this case will continue to be posted to this thread so that others may have the ability to make an informed decisions about submitting to a process which carries the risk of long term negative career implications even when the applicant is innocent of any wrong doing. There is a witch hunt within the USSS regarding this matter, which may hinder the ability to post new information in a timely manner.

Attached Files

- 1 DHS OIG Initial Complaint 20170317.pdf (85.3 KB, 2 views)
- 2 DHS OIG USSS Letter 20171204.pdf (49.2 KB, 2 views)

Tags: ellen ripperger, polygraph, ripperger, secret service, usss

**CCCSD**  
Shadownan

Join Date: Jul 2014  
Posts: 11250

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 08:01 PM

#2

And the point is..?

Now go home and get your shine boat

1 like

1 comment

**OrtexK**

01-16-2018, 05:56 AM

#2.1

That's what I was thinking the whole time. What do Special Agent Ellen Ripperger do? what is the "injustice"? here? Why should DHS spend time and resources investigating this?

**HI629**  
Mustang Sally

Join Date: Mar 2010  
Posts: 3190

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 08:10 PM

#3

Originally posted by **CCCSD**  
And the point is..?

Alleged lover seeking revenge?

Getting shot hurts! Don't underestimate the power of live ammo. A .22LR can kill you! I personally feel that it's best to avoid being shot by any caliber. Your vest may stop the bullet, but you'll still get a nice bruise or other injury to remember the experience.

2 likes

**HI629**  
Mustang Sally

Join Date: Mar 2010  
Posts: 3190

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 08:11 PM

#4

Originally posted by **USSSWARNING**

There are a number of consequences to failing a polygraph exam which will not be disclosed to an applicant. Any USSS applicant should review the following true case and consider refusing to take a USSS polygraph examination, especially with Special Agent Ellen Ripperger. Should an applicant decide to go forward with a USSS polygraph exam after digesting this information, they should be aware that USSS can always assign another examiner to administer a polygraph exam at the applicant's request.

Any experience the reader may have with Special Agent Ellen Ripperger may be substantially different than that described here, however two USSS Special Agents confirmed that the experience described here was far from unique.

The applicant in question expressed gratitude to the two USSS Special Agents who contacted him after his exam, and are making continuing efforts to correct an injustice. It was not lost on this applicant the significance of two Special Agents choosing to support an applicant to their organization that they did not know personally, but who fell was treated improperly, over that of one of their fellow Special Agents whom they both felt did not behave ethically.

When anyone takes a polygraph exam with any federal agency including the USSS, a recording is made of the entire exam. In fact, all modern Commercially Available off the Shelf (COTS) polygraph software utilized by federal agencies has both video and audio capability built into the software. The applicant will be told that the recording is made to protect both them and the Special Agent administering the exam. However, in this instance, the applicant was not provided a copy of the audio recording when they alleged that something illegal, improper, or unethical happened during their exam. The recordings have been routinely produced however to exonerate a Special Agent accused of any wrong doing during an exam. Even a FOIA request may not yield the release of the recording of an exam, and if it does anything useful will probably be redacted, and its release will take years after which any time limits for legal remedies will have long expired.

In this instance, a judge ordered the release of the audio of the polygraph exam in question which the USSS vigorously fought to suppress under "law enforcement investigatory privilege." After the USSS objection to providing the recording was overruled, the USSS provided the audio files that supposedly contained the sought after recording. However, the audio files provided by the USSS were virtually blank and contained nothing of any use or significance. Only a few minutes of the approximately five-hour-and-thirty-five-minute polygraph examination were audible.

The polygraph examinee was contacted by two individuals who identified themselves as USSS Special Agents. The agents made clear they knew intimate details of the applicant's exam. One Special Agent told the applicant he had ethical issues with how his exam was conducted.

Despite an affidavit from Danny Seiler, a former Supervisor Sergeant and Polygraph Examiner for the Maryland State Police which stated "Since 1991 in the approximate 2,500 polygraph examinations that I have given, the microphones have never failed to record the exam; nor have the exams not been recorded for any other reason", the Judge ruled against the applicant when a default judgment was requested based on the illegible polygraph audio files and chose to accept the USSS explanation that a faulty microphone caused all the pertinent areas of the audio recording of the polygraph exam to not be recorded.

A complaint was sent to the Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General (DHS OIG) John Roth (attached) requesting they investigate the Possible Destruction or Tampering of Evidence Utilizing of Government Information Technology Systems by the United States Secret Service. Multiple inquiries by Senator Chris Van Hollen finally revealed that DHS OIG chose not to investigate this matter, but instead related the matter back to the United States Secret Service Office of Professional Responsibility. Despite significant evidence submitted to DHS OIG that either the crime of perjury by a USSS Special Agent, or the destruction of evidence by USSS had more likely than not been committed by the USSS, DHS OIG chose not to investigate the matter.

Since DHS OIG has refused to investigate this matter, follow up requests for investigation of this matter have been sent to the Department of Justice, the Office of Government Ethics, and a second request to the new acting DHS OIG Inspector General John Kelly articulating concerns about how the former Inspector General John Roth of DHS OIG choose to handle this matter.

Updates with information pertaining to this case will continue to be posted to this thread so that others may have the ability to make an informed decisions about submitting to a process which carries the risk of long term negative career implications even when the applicant is innocent of any wrong doing. There is a witch hunt within the USSS regarding this matter, which may hinder the ability to post new information in a timely manner.

Wow, just wow!

Getting shot hurts! Don't underestimate the power of live ammo. A .22LR can kill you! I personally feel that it's best to avoid being shot by any caliber. Your vest may stop the bullet, but you'll still get a nice bruise or other injury to remember the experience.

**chipperjones**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Apr 2014  
Posts: 156

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 08:16 PM

#5

Sounds like a crazy scandal at all levels of government...I smell a Best Seller in the making!

**BoredAFGuy**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Sep 2017  
Posts: 7

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 10:30 PM

#6

Funny enough, I got an email exactly like that! Something to do with "I want you BIG XXXX Innie Zinnie!"

If only I had been paying attention...

On a serious note, I thought the USSS Poly Examiner I dealt with was professional and great. I told him the room was too warm, and he lowered it to make me feel at ease. The PT Examiner (no, not the one I was raised on office supply boxes to "get a better pulse", ignored I was in pain, and said that any "relaxed" position would throw off his reading of my results (which happened to be my only fail). After anyone initiated by the complete lack of standards for the voodoo box test?

2 likes

**holycricky**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Aug 2007  
Posts: 117

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 10:44 PM

#7

Cool, so the nuts are out today, huh?

Everyone entering this thread, grab that trifold hat and prepare for the ride!

2 likes

**Esco**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Mar 2009  
Posts: 578

Share Tweet

01-17-2018, 08:10 PM

#8

You'll pass some and fail others. Life is not fair. Back to usajobs and try another agency. Keep it moving.

**USSSWARNING**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Dec 2017  
Posts: 4

Share Tweet

01-17-2018, 11:09 PM

#9

Originally posted by **Esco**  
You'll pass some and fail others. Life is not fair. Back to usajobs and try another agency. Keep it moving.

Any Law Enforcement Officer who condones the destruction of evidence ordered by a Judge or perjury has no place being in Law Enforcement.

It is exactly this attitude that has led to the USSS becoming the troubled agency that it is.

And we all know nobody can pass a steered polygraph exam.

The original attachments appear to have been censored but they are also on antipolygraph.org

Attached Files

- 1 DHS OIG Initial Complaint 20170317.pdf (85.3 KB, 2 views)
- 2 DHS OIG USSS Letter 20171204.pdf (49.2 KB, 2 views)

**scotty\_appleton814**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Feb 2015  
Posts: 1422

Share Tweet

01-18-2018, 01:19 AM

#10

Originally posted by **USSSWARNING**

Any Law Enforcement Officer who condones the destruction of evidence ordered by a Judge or perjury has no place being in Law Enforcement.

It is exactly this attitude that has led to the USSS becoming the troubled agency that it is.

And we all know nobody can pass a steered polygraph exam.

The original attachments appear to have been censored but they are also on antipolygraph.org

So you are saying to not destroy evidence ordered by a judge?

**JZH**  
Southpaw

Join Date: Jan 2006  
Posts: 1699

Share Tweet

Yesterday, 04:58 PM

#11

Can we get rid of the clown?

USAF VETERAN 2004-2012  
"The sheep pretend the wolf will never come, but the sheepdog lives for that day." LTC Grossman  
DA Security Guard, AFSG WJ (GS-5 Step 06)

**CCCSD**  
Shadownan

Join Date: Jul 2014  
Posts: 11250

Share Tweet

Yesterday, 10:35 PM

#12

Trying to. I report, but they don't act. I Giles's they figure it's within rules...

Now go home and get your shine boat!

**not.in.MY.town**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Aug 2017  
Posts: 576

Share Tweet

Today, 09:29 AM

#13

Originally posted by **JZH**  
Can we get rid of this clown?

He hasn't been active in six days. Looks like he's gotten rid of himself...

**chipperjones**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Apr 2014  
Posts: 156

Share Tweet

Today, 11:38 AM

#14

Sounds to me like you are all some government stooges trying to cover up the TRUTH "takes off tin foil hat"

**agent05**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Jul 2013  
Posts: 97

Share Tweet

Today, 11:41 AM

#15

Who know but this story sounds crazy and ready for a best seller or box office hit... on a serious note, it does sound bad for all parties involved though

<iframe id="cb21c44664" name="cb21c44664" src="https://www.officer.com/forums/post.php?p=10&id=483448&cb=INSERT\_RANDOM\_NUMBER\_HERE" frameborder="0" frameSpacing="0" scrolling="no" width="300" height="250"><a href="https://www.officer.com/forums/post.php?p=10&id=483448&cb=INSERT\_RANDOM\_NUMBER\_HERE">https://www.officer.com/forums/post.php?p=10&id=483448&cb=INSERT\_RANDOM\_NUMBER\_HERE</a></iframe>

**USSSWARNING**  
Forum Member

Join Date: Dec 2017  
Posts: 4

Share Tweet

01-16-2018, 11:50 AM

#1

There are a number of consequences to failing a polygraph exam which will not be disclosed to an applicant. Any USSS applicant should review the following true case and consider refusing to take a USSS polygraph examination, especially with Special Agent Ellen Ripperger. Should an applicant decide to go forward with a USSS polygraph exam after digesting this information, they should be aware that USSS can always assign another examiner to administer a polygraph exam at the applicant's request.

<iframe id="cb21c44664" name="cb21c44664" src="https://www.officer.com/forums/post.php?p=10&id=483448&cb=INSERT\_RANDOM\_NUMBER\_HERE" frameborder="0" frameSpacing="0" scrolling="no" width="300" height="250"><a href="https://www.officer.com/forums/post.php?p=10&id=483448&cb=INSERT\_RANDOM\_NUMBER\_HERE">https://www.officer.com/forums/post.php?p=10&id=483448&cb=INSERT\_RANDOM\_NUMBER\_HERE</a></iframe>

**What's Going On**

There are currently 10865 users online, 437 members and 1048 guests.

Most users ever online was 19,482 at 12:44 PM on 09-29-2011.

**Tag Cloud**

academy advice background investigation california corrections employment florida hiring hiring process justice interview intel law enforcement new york nypd