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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | proceedings | 1 | examination, the file number 175-802-20141257. |
| 2 | Ed ALSTON | 2 | Q All right. And that's the report |
| 3 | having been duly sworn, testified as follows: | 3 | concerning |
| 4 | EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE COMPLAINANT | 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | BY MR. GAGLIARDO: | 5 | Q Okay. Mr. is sitting to my right. |
| 6 | Q Good morring. How are you? | 6 | Have you ever seen Mr. before, ever met him? |
| 7 | A Good morning. | 7 | A Not to my knowledge. |
| 8 | Q Would you identify yourself, please. | 8 | Q Okay. Now, when you you say, "the |
| 9 | A Ed Alston, Special Agent, U.S. Secret | 9 | report" -- let me explain what I've given you. |
| 10 | Service. | 10 | We've marked as Exhibit 1 materials that were |
| 11 | Q Is it proper to call you "Agent Alston"? | 11 | provided in response to a discovery request. |
| 12 | A "Ed" is fine. | 12 | (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification |
| 13 | Q "Ed" is fine. All right. Im Tom. | 13 | and is attached to the Ellen Ripperger 10/18/16 |
| 14 | Have you ever -- you've given a deposition | 14 | deposition transcript.) |
| 15 | before, have you not? | 15 | A Okay. |
| 16 | A Yes. | 16 | Q And I've given them to you in the order as |
| 17 | Q And tell me, just very briefly, as a | 17 | best as I know in which they were received. When |
| 18 | Secret Service agent or other reasons? | 18 | you say, "the report," are you talking about this |
| 19 | A Other reasons. | 19 | entire package or only parts of it or are you |
| 20 | Q Okay. Civil cases? Criminal cases? | 20 | talking about something that's not included in the |
| 21 | A Civil cases. | 21 | package? |
| 22 | Q Okay. I won't go into a lot of details. | 22 | A Parts of the package. |
|  | 6 |  |  |
| 1 | You know the routine, though. | 1 | Q Okay. Tell me, did you review pages 8 and |
| 2 | A You'll ask; I'll answer. | 2 |  |
| 3 | Q Okay. That's the routine. | 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | If I ask you a question that you don't | 4 | Q Okay. And there's a signature on the |
| 5 | understand, please tell me and I'll repeat the | 5 | bottom of page 8. Is that your signature? |
| 6 | question, try to clarify it for you. | 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | A Okay. | 7 | Q There's a signature on the bottom of page |
| 8 | Q If you don't hear me, the same thing; tell | 8 | 9. Is that your signature? |
| 9 | me you haven't heard and I'll repeat the question. | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | A Okay. | 10 | Q All right. If you'll turn to page 11, |
| 11 | Q All right. Is there any reason why you | 11 | please, there are two signatures on that page. Is |
| 12 | can't give a deposition this morning? | 12 | one of them your signature? |
| 13 | A No. | 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Okay. What did you do in preparation for | 14 | Q All right. Did you review anything -- |
| 15 | this deposition? And if you talked to counsel, just | 15 | when you say you reviewed the package, did you |
| 16 | tell me you talked to counsel. I'm not asking you | 16 | review anything other than those three pages? |
| 17 | what the discussion was. | 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | A Spoke to counsel. | 18 | Q Okay. What did you review? If you need a |
| 19 | Q Okay. Did you read anything? | 19 | moment, please take as much time as you need. |
| 20 | A Reviewed some things. | 20 | A The following pages would have been part |
| 21 | Q Okay. What did you review? | 21 | of what I reviewed: 15, 16, 17, a copy of 18, |
| 22 | A The actual report itself for the | 22 | probably not this exact form because it has a |


|  | 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | signature on it that I may not have had access to -- |  | related fields? |
| 2 | Q All right. | 2 | A 2002. |
| 3 | A -- 19, 20, 21, page 2 -- | 3 | Q And that's through the Secret Service? |
| 4 | Q Page 2? | 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | A -- yes, page 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 -- | 5 | Q Prior to 2002, did you have any experience |
| 6 | Q And you're up to 8, which we already |  | administering or interpreting polygraph |
| 7 | talked about, I guess, but go ahead. I don't mean | 7 | examinations? |
| 8 | to interrupt you. | 8 | A No. |
| 9 | A -- page 10 -- | 9 | Q What happened in 2002? What was the -- |
| 10 | Q 8, 9, and 10? | 10 | your first exposure to the field, so to speak? |
| 11 | A Yes, 8, 9, and $\mathbf{1 0}$. | 11 | A Opportunity presented itself and I |
| 12 | Q Okay. 11 is the page with your signature | 12 | requested or submitted and was selected to go into |
| 13 | on it. | 13 | the polygraph program for the Secret Service. |
| 14 | A Yes. I said page 5; correct? | 14 | Q Did you go into a training program? |
| 15 | Q I have everything from 2 through 11. | 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | A Okay. I probably would have reviewed some | 16 | Q And where was that? |
| 17 | form of page 54. | 17 | A That was down in Columbia, South Carolina, |
| 18 | Q Okay. | 18 | Fort Jackson. |
| 19 | A Yeah, that would probably be it at this | 19 | Q All right. And who -- whose program was |
| 20 | point. | 20 | that? |
| 21 | Q All right. I neglected to ask you at the | 21 | A That's the program for the federal |
| 22 | beginning. What is your job title? | 22 | government. It's the National Center for |
|  | 10 |  |  |
| 1 | A Special agent currently assigned to the | 1 | Credibility Assessment. |
| 2 | polygraph division. | 2 | Q Okay. And that was in 2002? |
| 3 | Q Are you a supervisor or a manager? | 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | A No. | 4 | Q How long was that training, approximately? |
| 5 | Q How long have you been with the agency? | 5 | A About 14 weeks. |
| 6 | A 18 -- a little over 18 years. | 6 | Q Did you successfully complete the program? |
| 7 | Q Do you have law enforcement experience | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | outside of United States Secret Service? | 8 | Q All right. Sometimes I have to ask |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | obvious questions. |
| 10 | Q What is that, sir? | 10 | After you finished training at Fort |
| 11 | A Police officer, Prince George's County, | 11 | Jackson, what happened regarding your involvement |
| 12 | Maryland, for eight years. | 12 | with polygraphs? |
| 13 | Q Prior to coming to Secret Service? | 13 | A The Secret Service has an internship |
| 14 | A Prior to coming to the Secret Service. | 14 | program, which I successfully completed. Then I was |
| 15 | Q Any other experience in law enforcement? | 15 | certified as a federal polygraph examiner for the |
| 16 | A No -- military police during the first | 16 | Secret Service. |
| 17 | Gulf War. | 17 | Q Couple of questions about that. How long |
| 18 | Q Two years? Four years? | 18 | was the internship? |
| 19 | A I was in the National Guard, so I did six | 19 | A Maybe three or four months. |
| 20 | months, like I said, during the first Gulf War. | 20 | Q Okay. And when were you certified? |
| 21 | Q All right. How long have you been -- when | 21 | A Probably still in 2002, towards the end of |
| 22 | were you first trained in polygraph examinations and | 22 | the year, I believe. |

Q Okay. Following your certification, was your assignment to the polygraph division?

A Yes.
Q Is that the correct terminology, "polygraph division"?

A Polygraph branch, division, program, all the same.

Q Okay. And you've been in the polygraph branch consistently since then or have you had other assignments?

A I've had other assignments.
Q How many -- do you administer polygraph exams?

A Yes.
Q How many would you say you've done in the past year?

A One or two probably in the last year.
Q Okay. Is your responsibility primarily to review other examiners' results?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And how many -- again, let's get the terminology, make sure we're on the same page.

What I understand in this particular case is that the polygraph examination of Mr.
 conducted by Agent Ripperger --

A Yes.
Q -- and that you then reviewed it.
A Correct.
Q Okay. So, if I just call them "reviews," how many reviews would you say you've done in the past year?

A Past year, maybe 50 to 100.
Q Okay. And that's pretty consistent; every year you do 50 to 100 ?

A Not necessarily.
Q Okay.
A This -- it would probably be closer to $\mathbf{5 0}$. I've had a lot of additional responsibilities during this last year because I'm assigned to another -I'm detailed to another -- I won't say, "division," but another assignment in addition to my duties, so probably less this year than in previous years.

Q So, less this year?
A Yes.

Q What's your -- just what are -- to what unit or component are you detailed?

A The Applicant Coordinating Center.
Q What is that?
A That is a division -- well, I say, "unit," that is working to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of bringing people onboard with the Secret Service, the hiring process.

Q So it's recruiting and screening and so forth?

A It's kind of a combination, exactly, thus, The Applicant Coordinating Center, you would have people from kind of each of those divisions working together to try to expedite, as well as make sure the process is running as effectively and efficiently as possible, as well as be able to track applicants where they are in the process, what's going on with a certain area that might be outside of the area that's looking for information.

For example, is someone scheduled for a polygraph. Well, you'd probably contact me and I would look in to see if an applicant is scheduled
for a polygraph, have they taken a polygraph, such as that.

Q Now, are the applicants just for special agent positions or any position with Secret Service?

A Any position within the Secret Service that requires a polygraph.

Q That requires a polygraph, yes.
A Yes.
Q So, for example, Mr. $\square$ was applying for a GS-15 information technology position. Would that fall within the purview of the Applicant Coordinating Center?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Can a person be denied hiring solely because of -- I'm going to call it a "failed polygraph test"? I know the FBI doesn't -- won't fire anybody for that reason alone. Is that the policy and practice here?

A That would be under security clearance division or security management division. As the polygraph branch, we just simply administer the examinations and then forward those results on to

SCD or SMD. Then it goes through an adjudicative process on their end and they make the determination.

Q I see. So you're not aware of a policy or practice regarding -- well, let me ask it this way. If one fails a polygraph test, that is there are significant responses to one or more questions -first of all, does that constitute failing, a significant response to any one -- I don't know what to call -- a pertinent question, as opposed to a control question?

A Ask the question again, please.
Q My understanding, and please correct me if I'm wrong, is that there are control questions and then there are questions that count.

A Okay.
Q And if there's a significant response on any question that counts, is that a reason to exclude somebody from being hired?

A Once again, that wouldn't be a decision by polygraph. As a polygraph examiner, that significant response -- an examination can be
evaluated as displaying significant response to a relevant question. That information would then be forwarded on to SMD or SCD and then they would make an adjudicative determination as far as what they wanted to do at that point in time. But polygraph doesn't make any type of hiring or firing determinations.

Q Okay. I understand that. Do you know what the policy is at SMD or SCD regarding such things?

A No.
Q Okay. Do you know what happened in Mr case after -- did it get sent to SC -SCD or SMD?

A It appears as though it did.
Q What in the packet in Exhibit 1 indicates that, sir? Is it the applicant examinee report?

A I would say 18, which looks like it was signed off by someone in -- looks like it was signed off by chief, security clearance division.

Q Okay. Do you recognize those initials?
Looks to me like "BOA," but I'm not sure that's what
it is.
A Pretty sure that would be -- that would be -- if I'm looking at it correctly, that would be an adjudicative determination. Looks like that would be "BQA."

Q "BQA." And what do those initials stand for?

A Better qualified applicant.
Q What does that mean, sir?
A That would be an adjudicative determination by SCD. I just recognize the --

Q No, no. But what is better qual -- who -Mr . was a better qualified applicant? I don't understand.

MR. WALLACE: I'm going to object as to speculation.

MR. GAGLIARDO: Well, he's -- this is his job. But, okay, objection noted.

MR. WALLACE: This is outside the scope of what he's here to testify as a reviewer.

MR. GAGLIARDO: Well, I didn't restrict it to just being a reviewer. But objection noted.

## BY MR. GAGLIARDO:

Q I'm sorry. What is better -- what does the notation "better qualified applicant" indicate to you?

A That would be -- I don't know what criteria follows up under 'better qualified applicant." I know that's an adjudicative determination made by SCD. What constitutes a better qualified applicant, I don't -- I don't know what their criteria would be.

Q All right. I'm still confused. I'm not trying to argue, but I really am confused. Is it saying that Mr . $\square$ is a better qualified applicant or is it telling us something else?

## A I wouldn't know.

Q You wouldn't know.
A You would have to ask someone in SCD.
Q Okay. I see a signature and it looks to me to be DeProspero-Philpot. Do you see that signature --

## A Yes.

Q -- above the initials "BQA"?

|  | 21 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A Yes. | 1 | Exhibit 1. |
| 2 | Q Do you recognize that signature as Ms. -- | 2 | A Okay. |
| 3 | A Ms. DeProspero? | 3 | Q All right. We already established that |
| 4 | Q Yes. | 4 | that's your signature on the document; is that |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | correct? |
| 6 | Q You know her? | 6 | A Yes. It's quality control reviewer, yes. |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | Q Yes. And your supervisor is Thomas |
| 8 | Q Okay. And what is her title? | 8 | Christopher? |
| 9 | A She was chief of security clearance | 9 | A At that time he was, yes. |
| 10 | division. | 10 | Q Yes. And that's who also signed this |
| 11 | Q Okay. I see. So all the writing on | 11 | document? |
| 12 | here -- is all the writing on this page 18 her | 12 | A Yes, it looks like his signature. |
| 13 | writing? | 13 | Q Okay. Did you fill in the rest of the -- |
| 14 | A I would say it appears to be. | 14 | of what appears on that document? For example, it |
| 15 | Q It appears to be, right. So she signed | 15 | says, "Examiner," the name "Ripperger" is filled in, |
| 16 | her name, put the initials "BQA," and put a date of | 16 | the date 9/18/14 is filled in, et cetera. |
| 17 | 10/31/14. Have I correctly represented what's on | 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | he document? | 18 | Q Is that your handwriting? |
| 19 | MR. WALLACE: Again, I'm just going to | 19 | A Yes, it is. |
| 20 | object to speculation. This witness has no idea. | 20 | Q So those check marks are also yours? |
| 21 | MR. GAGLIARDO: Okay. Let him testify. | 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | If he doesn't have an idea, he can tell me. | 22 | Q Okay. Now, let me ask you, towards the |
|  | 22 |  |  |
| 1 | A I agree. I don't have an idea. | 1 | middle of the page, it says, "Counter/Anticounter- |
| 2 | Q Okay. After -- is it -- let me try it | 2 | measure actions taking by examiner. Describe, if |
| 3 | this way. Correct me if I'm wrong. A polygraph | 3 | applicable," and it says, "N/A." Not applicable; |
| 4 | examination is administered; it's interpreted; and a | 4 | correct? |
| 5 | report is made; is that correct? | 5 | A Correct. |
| 6 | A Examination is conducted. | 6 | Q Why is that not applicable in this case? |
| 7 | Q Yes. | 7 | A There were no counter/anticountermeasure |
| 8 | A Then it goes through a quality control | 8 | actions taken by the examiner. |
| 9 | process. | 9 | Q Okay. Which, from that, I infer that |
| 10 | Q I left that out. Thank you. | 10 | there were no countermeasures taken by the examinee. |
| 11 | A Quality control process. And then that | 11 | A Well, there are none suspected by the |
| 12 | information is forwarded on to SCD. | 12 | examiner. In the previous line above, it says, |
| 13 | Q All right. And is -- when you say, "SCD," | 13 | "Suspected countermeasures." |
| 1 | is that Ms. DeProspero-Philpot? | 14 | Q "No." I see that it's checked, "no." |
| 15 | A SCD is security clearance division. | 15 | A "No." Right. |
| 16 | Q Is that where Ms. Philpot is? | 16 | Q All right. Now, it says, also, "Examiner |
| 17 | A Yes. | 17 | failed to sign SF" -- it says, "SSF3336A." What is |
| 18 | Q What happens after that? What happens to | 18 | that -- that's a standard form, right, standard |
| 19 | the report? | 19 | government form? |
| 20 | A I have no idea. | 20 | A Yes, standard Secret Service form. |
| 21 | Q Okay. Now, you talked about quality | 21 | Q Okay. Secret Service form. And what is |
| 22 | control, so let me draw your attention to page 11 of | 22 | it? |

## A Let's see. I believe it's -- let me find

 it.Q It may be my mistake but I didn't recall seeing it in the packet. But please take a moment to see if it is and that will end that question -line of questioning.

A The form 15.
Q Page 15 ?
A Page 15. I'm sorry.
Q Okay. It was my mistake. I see that.
There is some handwriting after the line that says, "Examiner's signature."

A Yes.
Q Is that your handwriting?
A Yes.
Q What does it -- I can read some of it. Would you read that for me, please.

A It would be my initials, EDAIII, and then underneath that, dash, "not signed."

Q Okay. It's Edward D. Alston. What's "II"?

A The third.

Q Oh, I see. Okay. Never mind. I understand. Going back to page 11 --

A Okay.
Q Hang on one second. Just -- just one second before we do that.

Do you know why the examiner did not sign this standard form 3336A?

A No.
Q When you saw that it was unsigned, did you ask Agent Ripperger any questions or ask her why she hadn't signed?

A No.
Q What's the -- what's the significance of her not signing that, if any?

A There is no real significance. Most examiners will initial or sign it just to kind of acknowledge that they reviewed this information.

Q When you say, "reviewed it," reviewed it with the examinee?

A Yes.
Q Going back, then, to page 11 --
A Yes.

Q -- in the various quality -- it says,
"Quality control review," and then there's a list of things which have either been checked "yes" or "no." If you look about -- just below the midpoint, it says, "Exam audio recorded (random checks throughout exam)."

A Correct.
Q And it's checked, "Yes."
A Yes.
Q And you're the one who checked the "yes"; correct?

A Correct.
Q Did you randomly check the audio recording of Mr .
examination?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Was it audible to you?
A Initial recording was.
Q What do you mean, "the initial recording was"?

A Initially, it started out, then it --
Q Okay.
A -- went dead.
.
 experience?

A Yes.
Q How often? Rarely?
A Rarely -- I would say occasionally but more towards rarely than -- than often.

Q Right. Has it ever happened to you when you've done an exam?

A I don't -- I don't know.
Q Okay. Is it -- is it the examiner's responsibility to make sure that an audio recording is being made?

A Yes.
Q When it -- why did you check "yes" if it went dead? Why didn't you check "no"?

## A Because it's not -- it asked if I did a

 random check, which I did.Q Well, shouldn't you have indicated somewhere that there was a problem?

A I didn't realize there was a problem.
Q Well, you realized it went dead after the initial portion that was audible, didn't you?

A No, I didn't. When I did my quality control, I just did an initial check. The audio was fine. I didn't listen to the whole exam. We don't -- those are -- we do periodic checks from time to time for certain -- well, not even certain exams -- random -- in ops, we may pull an examiner's, at some point -- at some point in time throughout the year, we usually try to do one or two -- well, now, this is currently, but back then, we didn't do any -- any systematic audio checks. It was not required.

But back in 2014, as an agency and as a program, we, the Secret Service, would do random audio checks just to -- a quick check to make sure, okay, there is audio, and then we'd move on.

Q The purpose of the audio is to make sure that the exam is being conducted properly; correct?

A Yes.
Q Because in viewing the -- or in listening
to the audio, that is -- that's a tool to interpret the charts.

A Not necessarily.
Q Well, wouldn't you -- if you look at a chart --

## A Correct.

Q Now, a chart -- let's be clear about something. The chart of these pages with the squiggly lines on them --

A Okay.
Q Is that right? Is that the right use of the term?

A ''Squiggly lines,' no, we don't --
Q Well, all right. A polygraph measures blood pressure, respiration, galvanic skin response, and heart rate; is that correct?

## A Correct.

Q And a graph of the response is recorded on paper or some other medium, and we see copies of them in Exhibit 1; correct?

A Yeah, these appear to be the copies.
Q All right. So, for example, page 51 is
part of a chart. I just want to make sure I'm using the word "chart" correctly. You can take any of it.

A Yes.
Q So that's a chart.
A Right.
Q Right. So, wouldn't listening to the audio tell you or help you assess why there might be an increase in any one of the four measures?

A Not necessarily.
Q Well, it could; right? I mean one purpose of it is to see if there's useful information that might be garnered from the audio.

A I'm sorry. Repeat the question.
Q Well, for example, if the audio showed that there was a loud noise in the background, that would account for, say, an increased -- increase in heart rate -- could.

A Could.
Q Right. So, you would account for that by comparing the audio recording to the chart.

A It could.
Q Okay. So, the other -- another purpose of
the audio is to make sure that the examiner is conducting exams properly; isn't that also correct?

A That would be correct.
Q All right. So, when there is no audio recording, you can't perform either -- none of those purposes can be served; isn't that also correct?

A No.
Q It's not correct or it is?
A It's not correct.
Q Okay. What is a correct statement then?
$A$ You can still evaluate the charts.
Q But you -- you can't -- all right. You can evaluate the chart simply by looking at what's on the graph paper; correct?

A Correct.
Q All right. But you don't have the assistance of the audio to see if -- to help you further determine whether that's a significant response or something else.

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And you certainly can't tell if the examiner is conducting the exam properly because you
can't hear what's being said, nor can you tell how it's being said.

A That's correct.
Q And when an examiner asks an examinee questions, it's supposed to be in a neutral tone; is it not?

A Yes, it should be.
Q Right. So, if, on the audio, it was revealed that the examiner was actually raising his or her voice or otherwise indicating, say, agitation or aggressiveness, that would affect the response that the examinee gives; correct?

A It could.
Q It could. And it would diminish the finding of a significant response because the response might, in fact, be to the examiner's tone and approach than to the question itself; isn't that also true?

A Could be.
Q Okay. If you know, what did
Mr. Christopher do -- when he signs off on the -- on page 11 , the quality control worksheet, what is he
actually attesting to? Does he also do the audio checks and all the rest of that?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q Okay. Do you know what he does or what his supervisor is supposed to do? I'll ask him but just if you know anything. What's his role in this review process is the real question.

A He acts as a designee for the special agent in charge of forensic services division, which polygraph falls under, so, he's, basically, the SAC's designee and he has the authority to actually sign off on the report.

Q I guess what I'm asking is does he review the charts.

A He could.
Q Okay. You don't know what he did in this particular case.

A No.
Q Okay. Let me ask you a different kind of question. Let me find the right page. There's a -there's a document signed by Magnuson. That's all
it says, no first name. I assume that's a special
agent. And if I can find it -- it's page 10.
A Okay.
Q All right. Do you know who Magnuson is?
A That would be Sergeant Magnuson.
Q Okay. Who is Sergeant Magnuson?
A He's assigned to polygraph branch as a polygraph examiner, quality control specialist.

Q When you say, "sergeant," is he an employee of the U.S. Secret Service?

A He's a uniform division officer for the Secret Service.

Q I see. That's why he has the title "sergeant."

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, he's not special agent; he's sergeant.

A He's a sergeant.
Q He's uniform.
A Correct.
Q But he is also a trained polygraph examiner and reviewer, I take it.

A Yes.

Q What's his first name, please?
A William.
Q All right. Now, he indicates, "Final evaluation," that says, "INC," does it not?

A Yeah, it looks like it.
Q All right. And that stands for inconclusive?

## A Correct.

Q And there are -- do you see any -- do you know why he concluded that it was inconclusive? It's maybe not a fair question. I'm not really asking you to guess what's in his head, but does anything on the paper indicate why he determined this to be inconclusive?

## A It would look to be his evaluation.

Q So those numbers, the zeros and plus twos and plus threes and so forth are scores, if you will, that he's -- that he's given --

## A Correct.

Q -- to the charts; right?
A Appears to be.
Q And it's a seven-point structure. You can
be anything from minus three to plus three and zero, of course, is in there, so there's one of seven scores you can -- an examinee could get; correct?

## A Correct.

Q So, you look at these -- you look at the charts and if there -- and please correct me if I'm wrong, because I have a very rudimentary understanding of this. If there's a -- if, for example, heart rate goes up dramatically in response to a question, you would rate that a negative number, would you not, because there's -- there's a response to the question?

A Not necessarily. Depends on -- depends on the question.

Q Okay. Tell me how it works. Let's do it that way. Just tell me how it works. I really have only, you know, a TV knowledge of what polygraphs are all about.

A Depending on what the question is, it would be rated a minus or -- a negative or positive rating and then it would use -- be used in comparison to the other questions to then determine
overall score --
Q Okay.
A -- for an evaluation of that specific
agent related to earlier relevant question in the chart.

Q And negative numbers are significant responses -- negative numbers are -- are indicative of deception; correct?

A No --
Q Well --
A -- not necessarily.
Q -- the examinee wants pluses, not minuses; right?

A As an overall evaluation, yes.
Q Okay. So, if Mr. $\square$ was all plus-threes, he would have done really good, and if he had all minus-threes, he would have been a bad -bad character.

A Well, it would have been two different --
Q Indicative of deception.
A There you go. Two different evaluations.
Q Okay. Now, your interpretation is
different than Sergeant Magnuson's; is that correct?
Your scoring is different, I should say. Your chart --

A Yes.
Q Your series two chart one is page 9. So, we would -- all right. I'm -- couple of things. Number one, do you know why there's only one page from Sergeant Magnuson?

A Yes.
Q Why?
A Because he only evaluated that one series.
Q Why did he not evaluate the other series, if you know?

A It appears I was the primary evaluator on this exam.

Q Isn't the policy and procedure that two people should review the exams?

A I'm sorry. What was the -- you're asking is it policy --

Q Review is supposed to be done by two people, is it not?

A No.

Q What's the policy and practice?
A Policy and practice is it can be reviewed by one quality control person. If there is a discrepancy, then another quality control person can review, and then that would be then turned over to a supervisor. There's an nonconcurrent process that's in place to deal with counter calls or differences in evaluations.

Q So, am I correct, then, to -- am I
correct, then, that there's a difference between Agent Ripperger's evaluation and yours and that's why Sergeant Magnuson was asked to review?

A Yes, that's what appears to be what happened.

Q Okay. Now, back to your evaluation, looking at pages 8 and 9 , there's nothing filled in for final evaluation.

A Correct.
Q Why is that?
A Because I can look at it and, basically, determine the overall evaluation.

Q All right. So, what is your overall --

|  | 41 |  | 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | even though you didn't fill it in on September 18th | 1 | A Correct. |
| 2 | of 2014, looking at the scores that you've entered | 2 | Q Okay. Now, electrodermal activity, that's |
| 3 | on that sheet, what is your overall evaluation? | 3 | your sweaty palms; right? |
| 4 | A My overall evaluation for R26 would be | 4 | A Correct. |
| 5 | minus three, which would then call for a final | 5 | Q It says, "Minus two C3." |
| 6 | evaluation of significant response for an SR | 6 | A Correct. |
| 7 | evaluatio | 7 | Q What is -- minus two I get. What's C3? |
| 8 | Q Wait. I'm not following. Let's do this a | 8 | A That would be minus two using C3 as the |
| 9 | little bit different to make sure we're on the same | 9 | comparison question for evaluation. |
| 10 | page. | 10 | Q I see. So you compared questions three |
| 11 | A Okay. | 11 | and four and rated or scored the response as a minus |
| 12 | Q Let's look at number 9 . | 12 | two; is that correct? |
| 13 | A Oh, number 9. | 13 | A That's correct. |
| 14 | Q Page 9. Let's look at page 9. All right? | 14 | Q All right. Cardiovascular activity, it |
| 15 | A Uh-huh. | 15 | just says minus C3. There's no number. |
| 16 | Q It stays, at the top of the page, "Series | 16 | A Correct. |
| 17 | two chart one"; correct? | 17 | Q What does -- what does that mean? Is it a |
| 18 | A Yes, number 9. | 18 | one, a zero -- |
| 19 | Q All right. And then, "R4." What is R4? | 19 | A It would be -- it would be a one. |
| 20 | A That would be relevant question number R4, | 20 | Q It's a one? I see. Because the subtotal |
| 21 | relevant question four. | 21 | is three; right? Two and one is three. |
| 22 | Q Okay. And the next one says, "R," and I | 22 | A Correct. |
|  | 42 |  |  |
| 1 | can't really read the number. | 1 | Q Okay. It says, "Charts one, two, and |
| 2 | A R6. | 2 | three." You filled in the blanks for one, two, and |
| 3 | Q Is that a six? Okay. All right. So, in | 3 | three. That means that the -- that question -- |
| 4 | R4, if you go to the very bottom, it says, "Spot | 4 | relevant question four was asked three different |
| 5 | totals minus three." | 5 | times? |
| 6 | A Yes. | 6 | A Correct. |
| 7 | Q And if you look at R6, the spot totals are | 7 | Q And there are different responses; is that |
| 8 | minus one. | 8 | correct? |
| 9 | A Correct. | 9 | A Ask the question again. |
| 10 | Q Okay. Now, what is a spot total? You | 10 | Q Well, you have a subtotal of zero for |
| 11 | actually add up the numbers that are in the column | 11 | chart two, and you have a subtotal of zero for chart |
| 12 | above -- | 12 | three. |
| 13 | A Correct. | 13 | A Correct. |
| 14 | Q -- or is it something else? | 14 | Q So those -- a zero score is not indicative |
| 15 | A Add the numbers that are in the columns | 15 | of deception, is it? |
| 16 | above, the subtotals. | 16 | A Not necessarily. |
| 17 | Q All right. So, again, let me make sure I | 17 | Q Well, in this case, if we -- if we |
| 18 | understand what you've written on this page. If we | 18 | eliminate what you said about R1 -- I mean chart one |
| 19 | look at R4, series two, chart one, R4, relevant | 19 | d all we had were the results of two and three, |
| 20 | question number four -- | 20 | ould you not agree that there's no indication of |
| 21 | A Okay. | 21 | deception as to question four? |
| 22 | Q -- respiration is rated as zero. | 22 | A It would then be inconclusive. |

Q It would be inconclusive. And that would be the same for R6 regarding -- in fact, in all three cases for R6, it's inconclusive; isn't that correct?

A Yes, for each individual chart.
Q For each individual chart. So the only significant response was chart one R4, correct, according to this document, according to this polygraph chart analysis? It's only R4 on chart one where there's an indication of -- there's -- I'm sorry. Rephrase that.

According to page 9, the polygraph chart analysis that you signed September 18 of 2014, there was a significant response only to relevant question four in series two chart one; is that correct?

A Wait a minute. Ask the question again.
Q The only -- the only significant response is regarding R4 in chart one; isn't that correct? Just look at it.

A Uh-huh. It would have only been asked once.

Q Pardon me?

A It's only asked once in chart one.

## There's one asking.

Q No, I understand. But there's no -- let's drop that. Let's drop that.

Let me go to page 8. I just want to understand the handwriting. Series one chart one, and then two, three, and four, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. The -- the question is different. Is that relevant question 24 ?

A Correct.
Q And relevant question 26 and 28?
A Correct.
Q Okay. I just want to make sure I'm reading the handwriting correctly.

I did have a question. This is to cure my ignorance. It says, "Exam format LEPET." What does that mean?

A It's a LEPET format. It's the testing format used in screening examinations.

Q All right. Can you tell me what those initials actually stand for.

A Law enforcement placement test, $I$ believe.
Q Something E-test; right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And that's -- it's a scoring system, did you say?

A It's a testing format.
Q Testing format.
A Correct.
Q There are various testing formats that can be used?

A Yes.
Q Does Secret Service only use the LEPET format?

A No.
Q How do you determine which format -- tell me -- again, you know, I'm really taking advantage of you, and I apologize, to educate me on some stuff. Tell me, besides LEPET, what other formats there are that are used at Secret Service.

A For screening examinations, we use the LEPET format. And then there's a CI scope examination, which is a different version of,

## basically, half of a LEPET examination.

Q Do you use that for screening applicants, as well?

A Yes, we will.
Q Okay. And how -- first of all, is there any other --

A For criminal exams, we use different testing formats, as well.

Q Okay. But for applicants, it would either be LEPET or the CI scope?

A Exactly.
Q Okay. And how do you determine which format to use or who -- does the examiner determine that?

A No.
Q Who determines that?
A SCD would determine what they're requiring. So a different -- certain positions may require only a CI scope polygraph examination.

Q Because that's a little less --
A Exactly.
Q -- probing, if that's the right word?

A Let me back up. That's recent developments.

Q That wasn't in effect back in 2014?
A No.
Q In 2014, there was only LEPET?
A Exact -- full scope polygraph examination would be administered and it would be administered under the LEPET format.

Q Okay. So, again, I just want to make sure we're on the same page. 2014, Mr. $\square$ given a polygraph. The only thing that -- the only format that would be used would have been LEPET.

A That would have been what he would have been authorized for.

Q Yes.
A Now, once again, SCD makes that determination.

Q I understand.
A But back in 2014, he was administered a LEPET format, which means he was authorized a full scope polygraph examination, which would have been conducted in the LEPET format.

50
Q Okay. Going back to the audio recording for a moment, do you -- I think you -- and, again, I don't want to put words in your mouth. I think you said there was a technical problem or words to that effect.

A Appears to have been.
Q Appears to have been. Do you know what the problem was?

A I have no idea.
Q Did you tell anybody that there had been a problem with the recording?

A I didn't know there was a problem with the recording.

Q When did you first -- when did you first know that it started out audible and then became inaudible?

A I met with counsel.
Q Oh, okay. Recently.
A Recently, yes.
Q I see. You had no idea back in 2014.
A No.
Q So, your spot-check was, merely, you
listened to the very beginning and you said, "Okay. This is cool," and --

A Yes.
Q -- done.
Let me ask you to look at page 2, if you would, for a moment.

## A Okay.

Q It's important to know whether an examinee is using any drugs, whether recreational or prescription, is it not?

## A It can be.

Q All right. Well, the polygraph data sheet that every -- page 2 is the polygraph data sheet; correct?

A Correct.
Q And that's used for all examinees applying for a job at Secret Service, is it not?

A Yes.
Q And the first question is, "Have you taken any medication, drugs, alcohol, or marijuana"; correct?

A Correct.

Q All right. And then Mr. $\square$ in this case, said he had, and then he lists in the next line the name of drug or medication, Effexor, Protonix, and Lipitor. Are you familiar with those drugs?

A Yes, I've heard of them.
Q Okay. Do you know what Effexor is for?
A No.
Q Treats depression. Would that -- how would that affect an examinee's --

A Might not affect them at all.
Q Well, why ask for it if -- why does it ask for it?

A It asks is the person taking any medication, drugs, alcohol, or marijuana.

Q Right, because you want to make sure they're of clear mind and that their answers are not being influenced by drugs or alcohol, isn't it?

A No.
Q Why do you ask the question?
A So that we can know if they are taking any drugs or medication because it may have an effect on

## their physiological responses.

Q Okay. Fine. And do you know what the physiological effects of Effexor are?

A No.
Q Or Protonix or Lipitor?
A No.
Q Do you see that in question number two, it says, "Are you presently under a physician's care, including pregnancy? If yes, explain." And it says, "OCD, high cholesterol, testosterone." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What's OCD?
A Obsessive compulsive disorder.
Q That's what it is. That could affect an examinee's responses, as well, couldn't it, physiological responses?

A Could affect their physiology overall.
Q Right. I mean, so, anyway, the OCD is going to really ponder a question and look at it with much more -- with much more scrutiny than -MR. WALLACE: Objection. Speculation.

MR. GAGLIARDO: I don't know.
Q I mean you're an examiner. If somebody says they have OCD and they're on Effexor, what does that tell you in terms of conducting the examination?

A Tells me that they're on -- they've been diagnosed -- I'd assume been diagnosed with OCD and are taking medication for it.

Q So, does that affect the way you interpret the physiological responses?

A Not necessarily.
Q Okay. Why not?
A Because it may not have an effect on them -- on their physiology.

Q Well, here's -- again, I'm not trying to be argumentative. But if it's important to ask the question --

A Right.
Q -- then it's important to know what the answer is. And having the answer, then, it must dictate either that you do or don't do something. I mean are there any cases where an examinee would
come in and say, "Look, here's my condition. Here are the medications I'm on," and that would affect how you score the exam or how you conduct the exam?

A No, unless they're physically and mentally uncapable of taking the examination.

Q And how would you determine that they were physically or mentally incapable of taking the exam?

A In discussions with them, you would determine whether they appear to be of sound mind and body, and if they appear to be, we would attempt to administer the examination.

Q Otherwise, you would not?
A If we make a determination prior to actually running charts that this person is not mentally or physically sound enough to be administered an examination.

Q Again, I'm not trying to argue with you; just trying to understand. If somebody came in and they were so nervous, you could see them literally shaking and their voice was shaking and, in other words, every indication that they were just coming apart at the seams, so to speak, would you conduct
the exam under those circumstances or would you say, you know, "We're not really going to get a good read on what's going on"?

A Depends on the individual.
Q Have you -- all right. Let's do it this way. Have you ever not conducted an exam because you determined that somebody was not physically or mentally fit to take the exam?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And, clearly, not going to indicate who or tell me anything like that. What was it about the person that led you to that conclusion?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Relevance. MR. GAGLIARDO: Okay.
Q You can -- you can answer.
A If the individual, for example, is clearly suffering from some type of cold, for example, and, in interviewing them, they're unable to continue a conversation without, say, coughing, sniffing, sneezing for any period of time, then that's clearly an indication that they're not going to be able to sit in the chair and answer questions without
coughing, sneezing, sniffling during an examination, which is probably not going to allow for clear charts to make a good evaluation.

Q Okay. How long does it -- what is the normal amount of time, the usual amount of time, I should say, that it takes to do a complete polygraph for a job applicant that's from, you know, very start to very finish?

A Anywhere from, possibly, four to six hours.

Q Okay. And it takes that long -- I mean, obviously, you're not asking questions that whole time. You have to do a prescreen to determine they're fit.

A Well, there are questions being asked, it's just the actual examination is not being administered for that long a period of time.

Q Meaning --
A Meaning --
Q -- connected to it.
A -- sitting in the chair connected to the components.

Q Okay. But you're asking other questions and doing other evaluative techniques or using evaluative techniques.

A Yes.
Q Is there anything in the package that I've shown you other than the scores on the polygraph that indicate that Mr. $\square$ was deceptive or otherwise unfit to be employed by the Secret Service?

A I couldn't make that determination. Polygraph doesn't do hiring or firing.

Q No, I under -- okay. I get you. All right. Let's leave that alone.

The -- if you look at page 5 for a minute, please, this -- I don't see a title on this document. I see several -- several designations for the questions. This is a series of questions with the responses, correct, and these responses are "yes" or "no," "Y" for yes and "N" for no?

## A Correct.

Q And the question is presumably written -is asked the way it's written; is that also correct?

A Yes.
Q All right. Now, the first question says, "X," under the "ID" column. I guess that means it doesn't -- doesn't matter because it says, "The test is about to begin." What does the " X " stand for?

A It normally indicates when the examiner is actually putting the instrument into operation and starting to record the charts.

Q And the double " X " is when it's concluded?
A Correct.
Q Now, the next line says -- the question is, "Is this the month of September?" And the answer is, "Yes," and it says, "21." That means it was question 21 ?

A No.
Q What does -- what does " 21 " mean? The reason I say that -- well, go ahead. You tell me.

A It's -- it's just an identifier for the question.

Q Okay.
A So you take it -- right. Would it be question 21? Yes. But are there 21 questions? No.

Q I get that. I get that. All right. Now, this is really what I'm -- what I wanted to get down to. The next three questions are S22, C23, and R24.

A Correct.
Q Now, I think we know from your prior answers the " R " means it's a relevant question.

A Correct.
Q So that's one that you're going to score the examinee on to determine -- that's important in determining whether they're decept -- indicating deception or not; correct?

A That's a relevant question, yes.
Q A relevant -- all right. And 24 says, "Withholding information about committing a serious crime." 26 is about involvement with illegal drugs. And 28 is deliberately falsifying information on the application.

A Yes.
Q So those are the questions that Secret Service really wants to know the answer; correct?

## A Those are the relevant questions.

Q The relevant questions. Okay. What is
"S" and what is "C"? And I'm asking compound questions, which I shouldn't. What is " S "?

A " S " is a sacrifice relevant.
Q What does that mean?
A That's a question for overall intent. "As it stands, concerning your application process, do you" -- "DYI" would stand for 'Do you intend to answer each question truthfully?"

Q All right. And what does " C " stand for?
A That would be a comparison question.
Q Ah. And what -- and, so, in your -- I may have this wrong, so please correct me. So, when you said you compared R24 with C25 -- I see. That's -you're just reflecting the way this printed sheet has designated questions; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q All right. Now, "DYI" you said was "do you intend." What is "PTAWSS"?

A It would normally stand for "prior to applying with the Secret Service."

Q Okay. And "DYE" is "did you ever"; is that correct?

Q They do monitor.
A They're National Center for Credibility Assessment and they fall under DIA and they're responsible for quality assurance program which, therefore, goes around and inspects -- in addition to training, one of the functions they also do is they inspect federal polygraph programs to ensure that they are in compliance and they are conducting themselves in an honest, ethical manner and adhering to the federal standards established.

Q Okay. That's -- that's what I was trying to get. You said, "DIA." To me, that's Defense Information Agency. What did you mean by "DIA"?

A That's the -- that's what NCCA falls under.

Q Defense Information Agency. Okay. Just, again, wanted to make sure.

All right. So, the -- the national center requires polygraphs to be audio recorded; isn't that correct?

A No.
Q They don't require it?

A Normally, yes.
Q Okay. Now, in C25, it says, "BAW" -before -- prior to applying, before applying. I get
it. Okay. We won't belabor those points. Okay.
MR. GAGLIARDO: All right. Give me one second to talk to Mr. $\square$ please.
(A recess was taken.)
BY MR. GAGLIARDO:
Q Let me -- let me try to get at something. Does DCCA accredit --

A NCCA? National Center of Credibility Assessment.

Q Yeah. Does the national center accredit the Secret Service polygraph program?

A No.
Q They're a training -- I understand they're a training institution. They don't review whether you're up to their standards or anything like that. Once they train people, they're out of the picture?

A No.
Q Okay.
A They do train people. They do monitor.

A No.
Q Do they recommend it?
A I don't know if they recommend it. They don't require it.

Q What about Secret Service, does Secret Service by its own policies require it?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, if exams aren't being recorded, for whatever reason, that would be a problem -- that would be a problem, would it not? That would violate -- let me ask it this way. If the exams -if the exams aren't being recorded, whatever the reason might be, that would be at least suspected to be a violation of Secret Service policy.

A You mean intentionally not recorded?
Q Well, that's what I was going to try to make that distinction. Clearly, if it was intentionally not recorded, that would be a violation of policy.

A That would be.
Q All right. And negligently not recording, not checking the equipment, not making sure it was
in good working order, not getting it repaired when broken would also violate Secret Service policy.

A What do you mean by -- further explain 'negligent."

Q Well, if you know a machine is -- if you know that microphones aren't working and you don't --

A Okay. So you're saying if the person knows.

Q -- fix that.
A Well, at least -- yeah, they'd have to fix that problem before moving forward if they were aware of it.

Q Right. And it's the examiner's responsibility to make sure that all equipment used in the polygraph examination process is in good working order; correct?

A Correct.
Q All right. So if -- okay.
MR. GAGLIARDO: Give me another minute with Mr .
(A recess was taken.)

MR. GAGLIARDO: Mark this as 2.
(Exhibit 2 was marked for identification and is attached to the Ellen Ripperger 10/18/16 deposition transcript.) BY MR. GAGLIARDO:

Q Just so we're squeaky clean, I'm going to ask you to use the marked one.

Agent Alston, if you would look at the numbered page 2 of what's been marked as Exhibit Number 2, which, let me represent -- represent was provided by Secret Service to us in response to a request, it is -- it's a four-page document and the subject on the cover page is "Polygraph Examination Procedures." Page 2, at the very top, the printed headline is "Applicant Screening Examinations." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. This is another help-me-out question. You see it says, "Examiners should ensure that the applicant examination is in accordance with DACA instructions regarding law enforcement preemployment testing (LEPET)."

## A Correct.

Q Okay. What is DACA?
A That's NCCA's previous name, Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment.

Q Okay.
A They've gone through several name changes over the years.

Q Yes, I heard you say that off the record. Okay. So, they're the -- it's the same -- it's the same institution --

A Yes.
Q -- different name.
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you have a -- do you know where I can get a copy of those instructions?

A Probably through NCCA.
Q Okay. Well, never mind. Okay.
MR. GAGLIARDO: Want to talk again?
MR. Yes, one last time.
MR. GAGLIARDO: One last question. (A recess was taken.)
BY MR. GAGLIARDO:

Q Do you know if transcripts of the audio
recordings of polygraph examinations are ever made?
A You mean as a normal course of business within Secret Service?

Q Either normal course of business or for a
particular reason. The reason I -- the reason I'm
asking you is because we cannot hear everything on
that tape or that audio file and the question is
does a transcript exist of -- I don't want to get
into a whole discussion of it. If you don't know, you don't know.

## A Not to my knowledge.

MR. GAGLIARDO: Okay. All right. I don't have any questions. Your lawyer may or may not.

MR. WALLACE: I've just got a couple follow-up.
EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE AGENCY BY MR. WALLACE:

Q Special Agent Alston, when you conducted the quality review of Mr. $\square$ polygraph, did you review all of the charts?

A Yes.

Q And were those charts -- did you consider them clear charts?

A Yes.
Q And explain to us what does it mean when you have a chart that's clear?

A Charts that are fairly consistent and homeostasis and, basically, charts that are -- can be evaluated free of a lot of unnecessary artifacts.

Q So a clear chart enables you, as a reviewer, to be able to score those charts, in your opinion, accurately?

A It provides for a much easier day for me as a reviewer and as an examiner. There are -clear charts are those charts where you get nice physiological readings from the examinee, so it makes it very easy to interpret the charts.

Q Okay. And when you conducted this review, I think you do initially what's called a "blind review"?

A That's correct.
Q That's correct. And explain again what a blind review is.

A A blind review or blind QC would be, as a quality -- excuse me -- as a quality control specialist, I would simply take the charts and score the charts without looking at anything else in the reports. So, I don't know anything about the field examiner's outcome and, in theory, I would score the charts and then see what the field examiner has come up with to determine whether or not we have a nonconcur situation.

Q So the only thing in a blind review, when you look at the charts, you don't look at any of the background of whoever the examinee is?

A No.
Q Other than just the name that's on the chart?

A Possibly, if the examiner titled the file that way, usually it will have the applicant data file number and it may have a last name but, generally, that's it.

Q Okay. And then it was based on this blind review, and your -- your further review was that there was some significant response on a
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particularly relevant question?
    A Correct.
        MR. WALLACE: Okay. I don't have any
other questions.
        MR. GAGLIARDO:Just want to be clear.
EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE COMPLAINANT
BY MR. GAGLIARDO:
    Q The field examiner in this case means
Agent Ripperger?
    A Yes.
    Q Okay. Just -- it was a different term. I
just want to make sure.
    A Basically, field exam -- whoever conducted
the examination.
    Q Right, whoever conducted the exam, so, in
this case, Ripperger.
    A Yes.
    Q Okay. All right. Have you reviewed other
examinations that she's conducted?
    A Yes.
    Q Have you nonconcurred in any of them?
    A I'm pretty sure I have.
```


## particularly relevant question?

```
A Correct.
MR. WALLACE: Okay. I don't have any
other questions.
MR. GAGLIARDO: Just want to be clear.
EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE COMPLAINANT
BY MR. GAGLIARDO:
Q The field examiner in this case means
Agent Ripperger?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Just -- it was a different term. I just want to make sure.
A Basically, field exam -- whoever conducted
the examination.
Q Right, whoever conducted the exam, so, in
this case, Ripperger.
A Yes.
Q Okay. All right. Have you reviewed other
examinations that she's conducted?
A Yes.
Q Have you nonconcurred in any of them?
A I'm pretty sure I have.
```

two.
A Series -- well --
Q The only document I have is page -- from Magnuson is page 10 .

A Right.
Q And it says, "Series two, charts one, two, three, and four."

A Which would be series one for the actual examination.

Q You've totally confused me now.
A The initial for all examinations -applicant screenings for all examinations, there would be an initial acquaintance test conducted --

Q Okay.
A -- which is simply asking a series of questions generally dealing with a number to give the examiner and examinee -- the examinee an opportunity to sit in the chair, have the components attached and, basically, get kind of a practice run, a trial run of what it's going to feel like for me, the examiner, to ask them a series of questions, for them to respond while having the components
attached.
And it also allows the examiner to adjust the instrument to the individual's physiology for that day, make any type of corrections or adjustments within the instrument. And it's just that, just a practice test. So, that will come up as series one, generally printed out through the Lafayette system.

Q All right. What we really care about here --

A Yes.
Q -- are the answers to relevant questions.
A Exactly.
Q And the relevant questions were 24, 26, and 28 .

A Right.
Q Okay. We don't have to go back over what Magnuson did or didn't -- whatever his report is.

Okay. Hang on one second. All right. Here's what I'm a little bit confused about. I'm sorry to -- to keep going back over this. I see two reports or two polygraph chart analyses by Agent

Ripperger.
A Okay.
Q And one of them is inconclusive, and one of them is significant. And the inconclusive is 24 , 26, and 28, which we know Magnuson also said was inconclusive.

A Right.
Q Okay. The other chart analysis by Agent Ripperger refers to R4 and R6, which she scores as significant response.

A Correct.
Q I don't see anything from Magnuson on that. I may have asked this. Why would he not have looked at that?

A Because he wasn't the primary evaluator. I was. And as I stated before, he was called in just to simply review series one, which he concurred with Ms. Ripperger and, therefore, she moved on to a second series, while it wouldn't have been realtime, but I had a nonconcur with Ms. Ripperger on series one, so Agent Magnuson was called. I called on his assistance for him to then do a blind QC. He
concurred with her, which then I moved on.
MR. GAGLIARDO: Okay. Okay. All right.
I don't have anything. Thank you. Sorry it took as much time as it did.

THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gagliardo, are you ordering this to be transcribed?

MR. GAGLIARDO: Yes.
THE COURT REPORTER: Is standard eight business days okay?

MR. GAGLIARDO: Yes. I'll tell you what.
We have a conference call with the judge on Thursday. So, why don't you hold -- hold the order until after Thursday and I'll let you know --

THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.
MR. GAGLIARDO: -- what we're going to do.
THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Just in case you do want it transcribed, are you then going to want the exhibits attached?

MR. GAGLIARDO: Yes. Now, we're going to use the same exhibits in both cases. If you'd just attach them to one or the other deposition, that's
all that matters.
THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. That's what I'll do, then.

MR. GAGLIARDO: But we'll leave them out here right where they are for the next witness.

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Okay.
And, Mr. Wallace, if this is transcribed, are you ordering a copy of the transcript?

MR. WALLACE: I would assume he wants one, yes.

MR. GAGLIARDO: He is not primary counsel.
MR. WALLACE: I'm not primary counsel.
Sorry. Steve -- I'm just handling --
MR. GAGLIARDO: He's coming in next.
MR. WALLACE: -- on for this one. So, you know what, ask -- when Steve comes in here, he's the primary attorney on this, so he'll -- I would assume he'd want one, but --

MR. GAGLIARDO: He'll be in here.
THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.
MR. GAGLIARDO: And mini-tran -miniscript and digital.
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| interpreted | 65:5,6 67:14 68:1,10 | 29:6 | 3:8 |
| 22:4 | 68:11 70:5 75:5 | listened | make |
| interpreting | 76:14 77:16 | 51:1 | 13:22 15:14 17:2 18:3 |
| 11:6 | knowledge | listening | 18:6 28:14 29:17,19 |
| interrupt | 7:7 34:3 37:17 68:12 | 29:22 31:6 | 31:1 32:1 41:9 42:17 |
| 9:8 | knows | lists | 46:14 49:9 52:16 |
| interviewing | 65:9 | 52:2 | 55:13 57:3 58:10 |
| 56:18 |  | literally | 63:17 64:17 65:15 |
| involved | L | 55:19 | 71:12 74:4 78:4 |
| 72:10 | L | little | makes |
| involvement | 79:2,20 | 10:6 41:9 48:20 74:20 | 49:16 69:16 |
| 12:11 60:15 | Lafayette | long | making |
| itself | 74:8 | 10:5,21 12:4,17 57:4 | 64:22 |
| 6:22 11:11 33:17 | last | 57:11,17 | management |
| J | $70: 18$ | look | 16:20 |
| J | law | $37: 5,540: 2041: 12,14$ | 10:3 |
| 3:3 | 10:7,15 47:1 66:21 | 42:7,19 45:19 51:5 | manner |
| Jackson | lawyer | 53:20 55:1 58:14 | 63:9 |
| 11:18 12:11 | 68:14 | 66:8 70:11,11 | many |
| January | least | looked | 13:12,15,21 14:8 |
| 79:16 | 64:13 65:11 | $75: 14$ | marijuana |
| JEH | leave |  | 51:20 52:15 |


| Mark | 7:6 50:17 | move | 41:22 52:2 59:11 60:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66:1 | microphones | 29:18 | 77:5,14 |
| marked | 65:6 | moved | nice |
| 7:10,12 66:2,7,9 | middle | 75:18 76:1 | 69:14 |
| marks | 24:1 | moving | noise |
| 23:20 | midpoint | 65:12 | 31:15 |
| Maryland | 27:4 | much | nonconcur |
| 10:12 | might | 8:19 53:21,21 69:12 | 70:9 75:20 |
| materials | 15:18 31:7,12 33:16 | 76:4 | nonconcurred |
| 4:9 7:10 | 52:11 64:13 | must | 71:21 |
| matter | military | 54:20 | nonconcurrence |
| 59:4 | 10:16 | N | 72:2 |
| matters | mind |  | nonconcurrent |
| 77:1 | 26:1 52:17 55:9 67:17 | N | 40:6 |
| maybe | mini | 3:1 4:1,1 5:1 58:19 | none |
| 12:19 14:10 36:11 | 78:5,10 | name | 24:11 32:5 |
| MD | miniscript | 21:16 23:15 34:22 36:1 | normal |
| 3:9 | 77:22 | 52:3 67:3,6,12 70:14 | 57:5 68:3,5 |
| mean | mini-tran | 70:18 | normally |
| 9:7 19:9 27:18 31:10 | 77:21 | national | 59:6 61:19 62:1 |
| 43:17 44:18 46:18 | minus | 10:19 11:22 62:11,13 | notarial |
| 53:19 54:2,22 57:11 | 37:1,20 41:5 42:5,8 | 63:2,18 | 79:14 |
| 59:16 61:4 63:13 | 43:5,7,8,11,15 | NCCA | Notary |
| 64:15 65:3 68:3 69:4 | minuses | 62:11 63:14 67:16 | 2:15 79:21 |
| 78:7 | 38:12 | NCCA's | notation |
| Meaning | minus-threes | 67:3 | 20:3 |
| 57:18,19 | 38:17 | necessarily | noted |
| means | minute | 14:13 30:3 31:9 37:13 | 19:18,22 |
| 44:3 49:20 59:3,13 | 45:16 58:14 65:20 | 38:11 44:16 54:11 | nothing |
| 60:671:8 | mistake | need | 40:16 |
| measure | 25:3,10 | 8:18,19 | number |
| 24:2 | moment | negative | 7:1 37:11 39:7 41:12 |
| measures | 8:19 25:4 50:2 51:6 | 37:10,20 38:6,7 | 41:13,18,20 42:1,20 |
| 30:14 31:8 | monitor | neglected | 43:15 53:7 66:10 |
| medication | 62:22 63:1 | 9:21 | 70:18 73:16 |
| 51:20 52:3,15,22 54:8 | month | negligent | numbered |
| medications | 59:12 | 65:4 | 66:9 |
| $55: 2$ | months | negligently | numbers |
| medium | 10:20 12:19 | 64:21 | 36:16 38:6,7 42:11,15 |
| $30: 19$ | more | neither | NW |
| mentally | 17:7 28:9 53:21,21 | 79:9 | 2:6 3:15 |
| 55:4,7,15 56:8 | morning | nervous | N/A |
| merely | 5:6,7 6:12 | 55:19 | 24:3 |
| 50:22 | Most | neutral |  |
| Merit | 26:15 | 33:5 | $\bigcirc$ |
| $2: 14$ | mouth | never |  |
| met | 50:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:1 } 67: 17 \\ & \text { next } \end{aligned}$ | 4:1 5:1 <br> object |


| 19:15 21:20 | 62:21 63:11,16 64:8 | 13:9,11,19 30:19 | Pardon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| objection | 65:8,19 66:18 67:2,5 | 31:22 37:22 39:12 | 45:22 |
| 19:18,22 53:22 56:13 | 67:9,14,17,17 68:13 | 47:18 48:6 55:20 | part |
| Obsessive | 69:17 70:20 71:3,11 | 58:1,2,6 70:14 71:4 | 8:20 31:1 |
| 53:14 | 71:18 73:14 74:17,19 | 71:18 75:8 76:22 | particular |
| obvious | 75:2,8 76:2,2,10,15 | otherwise | 14:1 34:17 68:6 |
| 12:9 | 76:17 77:2,6,20 78:12 | 33:10 55:12 58:8 79:12 | particularly |
| obviously | onboard | out | 71:1 |
| 57:12 | 15:7 | 22:10 27:20 50:15 | parties |
| occasionally | once | 62:19 74:7 77:4 | 79:10 |
| 28:8 | 17:20 45:21 46:1 49:16 | outcome | parts |
| OCD | 62:19 | 70:6 72:19 79:12 | 7:19,22 |
| 53:10,13,19 54:3,7 | one | outside | past |
| October | 8:12 13:17 17:6,7,9 | 10:8 15:18 19:19 | 13:16 14:9,10 |
| 1:16 79:15 | 26:4,4 27:10 29:11 | over | paste |
| Office | 31:8,10 37:2 39:5,7,7 | 10:6 40:5 67:7 74:17 | 78:11 |
| 1:2 | 39:11 40:3 41:17,22 | 74:21 | people |
| officer | 42:8,19 43:18,19,20 | overall | 15:7,13 39:17,21 62:19 |
| 10:11 35:10 79:2 | 43:21 44:1,2,18 45:7 | 38:1,14 40:21,22 41:3 | 62:22 |
| offices | 45:9,15,18 46:1,2,6,6 | 41:4 53:18 61:5 72:6 | perform |
| 2:1 | 60:8 62:5 63:6 66:7 | 72:7,19 | 32:5 |
| often | 67:19,20 72:21,22 | own | period |
| 28:7,9 | 73:6,8 74:7,19 75:3,3 | 64:6 | 56:20 57:17 |
| Oh | 75:17,21 76:22 77:9 |  | periodic |
| 26:1 41:13 50:18 | 77:15,18 | P | 29:7 |
| okay | only | P | person |
| 5:20,22 6:3,7,10,14,19 | 7:19 37:17 39:7,11 | 3:1,1 5:1 | 16:14 40:3,4 52:14 |
| 6:21 7:5,8,15 8:1,4,18 | 45:6,9,14,17,17,20 | package | 55:14 56:12 65:8 |
| 9:12,16,18 12:2,20 | 46:1 47:12 48:19 | 7:19,21,22 8:15 58:5 | 72:3,9 |
| 13:1,8,18,21 14:7,11 | 49:5,11,11 70:10 73:3 | packet | pertinent |
| 14:14 16:14 17:16 | operation | 18:16 25:4 | 17:10 |
| 18:8,12,21 19:18 | 59:7 | page | Philpot |
| 20:18 21:8,11,21 22:2 | opinion | 4:2,8 8:5,7,10,11 9:3,4 | 22:16 |
| 22:21 23:2,13,22 24:9 | 69:11 | 9:5,9,12,14,17 13:22 | physically |
| 24:21 25:10,20 26:1,3 | opportunity | 21:12 22:22 24:1 | 55:4,7,15 56:7 |
| 27:16,21 28:13 29:18 | 1:1 11:11 73:18 | 25:8,9 26:2,21 30:22 | physician's |
| 30:10 31:22 32:10,21 | opposed | 33:22 34:20 35:1 | 53:8 |
| 33:20 34:4,16,19 35:2 | 17:10 | 39:5,7 41:10,14,14,16 | physiological |
| 35:5,15 37:15 38:2,15 | ops | 42:18 45:12 46:5 | 53:1,3,17 54:10 69:15 |
| 38:22 40:15 41:11,22 | 29:9 | 49:10 51:5,13 58:14 | physiology |
| 42:3,10,21 43:2 44:1 | order | 66:9,13,14 73:3,4 | 53:18 54:14 74:3 |
| 46:9,14 47:4 48:5,9 | 7:16 65:1,17 76:13 | pages | picture |
| 48:12 49:9 50:1,18 | 78:6 | 1:21 8:1,16,20 30:8 | 62:19 |
| 51:1,7 52:7 53:2 | ordering | 40:16 | place |
| 54:12 56:10,14 57:4 | 76:7 77:8 | palms | 40:7 |
| 57:11 58:1,12 59:20 | other | 43:3 | placement |
| 60:22 61:21 62:2,4,4 | 5:18,19 8:16 10:15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { paper } \\ 30: 1932: 1436: 13 \end{array}$ | 47:1 |


| please | possible | probing | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:8 6:5 8:11,19 17:12 | 15:16 | 48:22 | QC |
| 17:13 25:4,17 36:1 | possibly | problem | 70:1 72:4,13 75:22 |
| 37:6 58:15 61:12 | 57:9 70:16 | 28:3,22 29:1 50:4,8,11 | qual |
| 62:6 | practice | 50:12 64:9,10 65:12 | 19:12 |
| plus | 16:18 17:5 40:1,2 | procedure | qualified |
| 36:16,17 37:1 | 73:19 74:6 | 39:16 | 19:8,13 20:3,6,9,13 |
| pluses | preemployment | Procedures | quality |
| 38:12 | 66:22 | 4:10 66:14 | 22:8,11,21 23:6 27:1,2 |
| plus-threes | pregnancy | process | 29:4 33:22 35:7 40:3 |
| 38:16 | 53:9 | 15:8,15,17 17:2 22:9 | 40:4 63:4 68:20 70:2 |
| point | preparation | 22:11 34:7 40:6 61:6 | 70:2 72:3,9 |
| 9:20 18:5 29:10,10 | 6:14 | 65:16 | question |
| points | prescreen | program | 6:4,6,9 17:10,11,12,18 |
| 62:4 | 57:13 | 11:13,14,19,21 12:6,14 | 18:2 25:5 31:13 |
| police | prescription | 13:6 29:16 62:14 | 33:17 34:7,20 36:11 |
| 10:11,16 | 51:10 | 63:4 | 37:10,12,14,19 38:4 |
| policies | PRESENT | programs | 41:20,21 42:20 43:9 |
| 64:6 | 3:20 | 63:7 | 44:3,4,9,21 45:14,16 |
| policy | presented | proper | 46:9,10,12,16 51:19 |
| 16:18 17:4 18:9 39:16 | 11:11 | 5:11 | 52:20 53:7,20 54:17 |
| 39:19 40:1,2 64:14,19 | presently | properly | 58:21 59:2,11,14,19 |
| 65:2 | 53:8 | 29:20 32:2,22 | 59:22 60:6,12 61:5,8 |
| polygraph | pressure | Protonix | 61:10 66:19 67:20 |
| 4:10 10:2,22 11:6,13 | 30:15 | 52:4 53:5 | 68:8 71:1 |
| 12:15 13:2,5,6,8,12 | presumably | provided | questioning |
| 14:2 15:21 16:1,1,6,7 | 58:21 | 7:11 66:11 | 25:6 |
| 16:16,21 17:6,21,21 | pretty | provides | questions |
| 18:5 22:3 30:14 | 14:11 19:2 71:22 | 69:12 | 12:9,17 17:7,14,15 |
| 34:10 35:6,7,20 45:9 | previous | PTAWSS | 26:10 33:5 37:22 |
| 45:12 48:19 49:6,11 | 14:20 24:12 67:3 | 61:18 | 43:10 56:22 57:12,15 |
| 49:21 51:12,13 57:6 | primarily | Public | 58:1,17,17 59:22 60:3 |
| 58:6,11 62:14 63:7 | 13:18 | 2:15 79:1,21 | 60:19,21,22 61:2,15 |
| 65:16 66:13 68:2,20 | primary | pull | 68:14 71:4 73:16,21 |
| 74:22 | 39:14 75:15 77:11,12 | 29:9 | 74:12,14 |
| polygraphs | 77:17 | purpose | quick |
| 12:12 37:17 63:19 | Prince | 29:19 31:10,22 | 29:17 |
| ponder | 10:11 | purposes |  |
| 53:20 | printed | 32:6 | R |
| portion | 61:14 66:14 74:7 | Pursuant | R |
| 29:3 | prior | 2:13 | 3:1 5:1 41:22 60:6 |
| position | 10:13,14 11:5 55:13 | purview | raising |
| 16:4,5,10 | 60:5 61:19 62:3 | 16:11 | 33:9 |
| positions | probably | put | random |
| 16:4 48:18 | 8:22 9:16,19 12:21 | 21:16,16 50:3 | 27:5 28:20 29:9,16 |
| positive | 13:17 14:15,20 15:21 | putting | randomly |
| 37:20 | 57:2 67:16 | 59:7 | 27:13 |


| rarely | record | Reporter | 13:19 16:22 44:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28:7,8,9 | 59:8 67:8 78:14 79:5 | 2:14,15 76:6,9,15,17 | revealed |
| rate | recorded | 77:2,6,20 78:1,3,9,12 | 33:9 |
| 30:16 31:17 37:9,10 | 27:5 30:18 63:19 64:8 | REPORTER-NOTA... | review |
| rated | 64:12,15,18 | 79:1 | 6:21 8:1,14,16,18 |
| 37:20 42:22 43:11 | recording | reports | 13:19 27:2 34:7,13 |
| rating | 27:13,17,18 28:14 | 70:5 74:22 | 39:17,20 40:5,12 |
| 37:21 | 31:20 32:5 50:1,11,13 | represent | 62:17 68:20,21 69:17 |
| read | 64:21 | 66:10,10 | 69:19,22 70:1,10,21 |
| 6:19 25:16,17 42:1 | recordings | represented | 70:21 75:17 |
| 56:2 | 68:2 | 21:17 | reviewed |
| reading | recreational | request | 6:20 8:15,21 9:16 14:5 |
| 46:15 79:8 | 51:9 | 7:11 66:12 78:4 | 26:17,18,18 40:2 |
| readings | recruiting | requested | 71:18 |
| 69:15 | 15:9 | 11:12 79:9 | reviewer |
| real | reduced | require | 19:20,22 23:6 35:21 |
| 26:15 34:7 | 79:7 | 48:19 63:22 64:4,6 | 69:10,13 |
| realize | refers | required | reviews |
| 29:1 | 75:9 | 29:14 | 14:7,8 |
| realized | reflecting | requires | right |
| 29:2 | 61:14 | 16:6,7 63:19 | 5:13 6:11 7:2,5 8:10,14 |
| really | regarding | requiring | 9:2,21 10:21 11:19 |
| 20:12 36:11 37:16 | 12:11 17:5 18:9 45:2 | 48:18 | 12:8 20:11 21:15 |
| 38:16 42:1 47:16 | 45:18 66:21 | respiration | 22:13 23:3 24:15,16 |
| 53:20 56:2 60:2,20 | Registered | 30:15 42:22 | 24:18 28:1, 10 30:11 |
| 74:9 | 2:14 | respond | 30:11,14,22 31:5,6,10 |
| realtime | related | 73:22 | 31:19 32:4,12,16 33:8 |
| 2:15 75:19 | 11:1 38:4 79:10 | response | 34:20 35:3 36:3,6,20 |
| reason | Relevance | 7:11 17:9,17,22 18:1 | 38:13 39:6 40:22 |
| 6:11 16:17 17:18 59:17 | 56:13 | 30:15,18 32:19 33:11 | 41:14,19 42:3,17 43:3 |
| 64:9,13 68:6,6,6 | relevant | 33:15,16 37:9,12 41:6 | 43:14,21 46:21 47:2 |
| reasons | 18:2 38:4 41:20,21 | 43:11 45:7,14,17 | 48:22 51:12 52:1,16 |
| 5:18,19 | 42:19 44:4 45:14 | 66:11 70:22 75:10 | 53:19 54:18 56:5 |
| recall | 46:10,12 60:6,12,13 | responses | 58:13 59:2,21 60:1,13 |
| 25:3 | 60:21,22 61:3 71:1 | 17:7 38:7 44:7 53:1,16 | 61:9,17 62:5 63:18 |
| received | 74:12,14 | 53:17 54:10 58:18,18 | 64:21 65:14,19 68:13 |
| 7:17 | repaired | responsibilities | 71:15,18 73:5 74:9,16 |
| recent | 65:1 | 14:16 | 74:19 75:7 76:2 77:5 |
| 49:1 | repeat | responsibility | 78:9,9,12 |
| Recently | 6:5,9 31:13 | 13:18 28:14 65:15 | Ripperger |
| 50:18,19 | Rephrase | responsible | 4:7 7:13 14:3 23:15 |
| recess | 45:11 | 63:4 | 26:10 66:3 71:9,16 |
| 62:7 65:22 67:21 | report | rest | 72:15 75:1,9,18,20 |
| recognize | 4:9 6:22 7:2,9,18 18:17 | 23:13 34:2 | Ripperger's |
| 18:21 19:11 21:2 | 22:5,19 34:12 74:18 | restrict | 40:11 |
| recommend | Reported | 19:21 | RMR |
| 64:2,3 | 1:22 | results | 1:22 |


| role | 67:8 | 2:5 3:14 5:9,18 10:8,13 | Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:6 | saying | 10:14 11:3,13 12:13 | 2:5 3:14 5:10,18 10:8 |
| Room | 20:13 65:8 | 12:16 15:8 16:4,5 | 10:13,14 11:3,13 |
| 3:16 | says | 24:20,21 29:16 35:9 | 12:13,16 15:8 16:4,5 |
| routine | 23:15 24:1,3,12,16,17 | 35:11 47:12,19 51:17 | 24:20,21 29:16 35:9 |
| 6:1,3 | 25:12 27:1,5 34:22 | 58:8 60:19 61:20 | 35:11 47:12,19 51:17 |
| rudimentary | 36:4 41:22 42:4 43:5 | 62:14 64:5,5,14 65:2 | 58:9 60:20 61:20 |
| 37:7 | 43:15 44:1 46:17 | 66:11 68:4 | 62:14 64:5,6,14 65:2 |
| run | 53:8,10 54:3 59:2,4 | SECRETARY | 66:11 68:4 |
| 73:19,20 | 59:11,13 60:13 62:2 | 1:8 | services |
| running | 66:19 73:6 | security | 34:9 |
| 15:15 55:14 | SC | 1:10 3:6 16:19,20 | set |
| R1 | 18:13 | 18:20 21:9 22:15 | 79:13 |
| 44:18 | SCD | see | seven |
| R24 | 17:1 18:3,9,14 19:11 | 15:22 17:4 20:18,19 | 37:2 |
| 60:3 61:13 | 20:8,17 22:12,13,15 | 21:11 24:14 25:1,5,10 | seven-point |
| R26 | 48:17 49:16 | 26:1 30:19 31:11 | 36:22 |
| 41:4 | scheduled | 32:17 35:12 36:9 | several |
| R4 | 15:20,22 | 43:10,20 46:7 50:20 | 58:16,16 67:6 |
| 41:19,19,20 42:4,19,19 | scope | 53:7,11 55:19 58:15 | SF |
| 45:7,9,18 75:9 | 19:19 47:21 48:10,19 | 58:16 61:13 66:16,19 | 24:17 |
| R6 | 49:6,21 | 70:7 74:21 75:12 | shaking |
| 42:2,7 45:2,3 75:9 | score | seeing | 55:20,20 |
| S | 38:1 44:14 55:3 60:8 | 25:4 | she |
| $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ | scored | seen $7: 6$ | SHORTHAND |
| 3:1 4:1,6 5:1 61:1,2,3 | 43:11 | selected | 79:1 |
| sacrifice | scores | 11:12 | should |
| 61:3 | 36:17 37:3 41:2 58:6 | send | 33:7 39:2,17 57:6 |
| SAC's | 75:9 | 72:8 | 66:19 |
| 34:11 | scoring | sent | shouldn't |
| said | 39:2 47:4 72:5 | 18:13 | 28:21 61:2 |
| 9:14 10:20 33:1,2 | screening | September | showed |
| 44:18 50:4 51:1 52:2 | 15:9 46:20 47:20 48:2 | 41:1 45:13 59:12 | 31:14 |
| 61:13,17 63:12 75:5 | 66:15 | sergeant | shown |
| 79:5 | screenings | 35:4,5,8,13, 16, 17 39:1 | 58:6 |
| same | 73:12 | 39:8 40:12 | sign |
| 6:8 13:7,22 41:9 45:2 | scrutiny | series | 24:17 26:6,16 34:12 |
| 49:10 67:9,10 76:21 | 53:21 | 39:5,11,12 41:16 42:19 | signature |
| saw | seal | 45:15 46:6 58:17 | 8:4,5,7,8,12 9:1,12 |
| 26:9 | 79:14 | 72:5,21,22,22,22 73:2 | 20:18,20 21:2 23:4,12 |
| say | seams | 73:6,8,15,21 74:7 | 25:12 |
| 7:8,18 8:15 13:15 14:8 | 55:22 | 75:17,19,20 | signatures |
| 14:18 15:5 18:18 | second | serious | 8:11 |
| 21:14 22:13 26:18 | 26:4,5 62:6 74:19 | 60:14 | signed |
| 28:8 31:16 33:10 | 75:19 | served |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 8 ~ 39: 2 ~ 47: 5 ~ 55: 1 \\ & 56: 1,19 ~ 57: 659: 17 \end{aligned}$ | Secret | 32:6 | 25:19 26:11 34:21 |


| 45:13 | something | 62:18 63:10 | 28:14 29:17,19 31:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| significance | 7:20 20:14 30:8 32:19 | stands | 32:1 41:9 42:17 |
| 26:13,15 | 42:14 47:2 54:21 | 36:6 61:6 | 46:14 49:9 52:16 |
| significant | 62:9 | start | 63:17 64:22 65:15 |
| 17:7,9,17,22 18:1 | Sometimes | 57:8 | 71:12,22 78:12 |
| 32:18 33:15 38:6 | 12:8 | started | suspected |
| 41:6 45:7,14,17 70:22 | somewhere | 27:20 50:15 | 24:11,13 64:13 |
| 75:4,10 | 28:22 | starting | sweaty |
| signing | sorry | 59:8 | 43:3 |
| 26:14 79:8 | 20:2 25:9 31:13 39:18 | stated | sworn |
| signs | 45:11 74:21 76:3 | 72:4 75:16 | 5:3 |
| 33:21 | 77:13 | statement | system |
| simply | sound | 32:10 | 47:5 74:8 |
| 16:21 32:13 70:3 73:15 | 55:9,15 | States | systematic |
| 75:17 | South | 2:5 3:14 10:8 | 29:13 |
| since | 11:17 | stays | S22 |
| 13:9 | speak | 41:16 | 60:3 |
| sir | 11:10 55:22 | stenographically | T |
| 10:10 18:17 19:9 | special | $79: 6$ | T |
| sit | 5:9 10:1 16:3 34:8,22 | Steve | T |
| 56:22 73:18 | 35:15 68:19 | 77:13,16 | 4:1,1,6 |
| sitting | specialist | still | take |
| 7:5 57:21 | 35:7 70:3 | 12:21 20:11 32:11 | 8:19 25:4 31:2 35:21 |
| situation | specific | Street | 56:8 59:21 70:3 |
| 70:9 72:15 | 38:3 | 2:6 3:15 | taken |
| six | speculation | structure | 16:1 24:8,10 51:19 |
| 10:19 42:3 57:9 | 19:16 21:20 53:22 | 36:22 | 62:7 65:22 67:21 |
| skin | Spoke | stuff | 79:3,6 |
| 30:15 | 6:18 | 47:18 | takes |
| SMD | spot | subject | 57:6,11 |
| 17:1 18:3,9,14 | 42:4,7,10 | 66:13 | taking |
| sneezing | spot-check | submitted | 24:2 47:16 52:14,21 |
| 56:20 57:1 | 50:22 | 11:12 | 54:8 55:5,7 |
| sniffing | squeaky | subtotal | talk |
| $56: 19$ | 66:6 | 43:20 44:10,11 | 62:6 67:18 |
| sniffling | squiggly | subtotals | talked |
| 57:1 | 30:9,13 | 42:16 | 6:15,16 9:7 22:21 |
| solely | SR | successfully | talking |
| 16:15 | 41:6 | 12:6,14 | 7:18,20 |
| some | SSF3336A | suffering | tape |
| 6:20 9:16 25:11,16 | 24:17 | 56:17 | 68:8 |
| 28:2 29:10,10 30:19 | stand | supervisor | technical |
| 47:17 56:17 70:22 | 19:6 46:22 59:5 61:7,9 | 10:3 23:7 34:5 40:6 | 28:2 50:4 |
| somebody | $61: 19$ | supposed | techniques |
| $17: 1954: 255: 18 \text { 56:7 }$ | standard | 33:5 34:5 39:20 | 58:2,3 |
| someone | $24: 18,18,2026: 776: 9$ | sure | technology |
| 15:20 18:19 20:17 | standards | 13:22 15:14 18:22 19:2 | $16: 10$ |


| 5:17 6:5,8,16 8:1 | 6:20 18:10 27:3 39:6 | totally | 41:17 42:19 43:5,7,8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:22 28:1 31:7 | think | 73:10 | 43:12,21 44:1,2,11,19 |
| 32:21 33:1 37:15,16 | 50:2,3 60:5 69:18 | totals | 45:15 46:7 53:7 |
| 46:21 47:15,18 50:10 | third | 42:5,7 | 72:22 73:1,6,6 74:21 |
| 54:4 56:11 59:17 | 25:22 | towards | 74:22 |
| 76:11 | Thomas | 12:21 23:22 28:9 | twos |
| telling | 3:3 23:7 | track | 36:16 |
| 20:14 | three | 15:16 | type |
| Tells | 8:16 12:19 37:1, $141: 5$ | train | 18:6 28:2 56:17 74:4 |
| 54:6 | 42:5 43:10,21,21 44:2 | 62:19,22 | typewriting |
| term | 44:3,4,12,19 45:3 | trained | 79:7 |
| 30:12 71:11 | 46:7 60:3 73:7 | 10:22 35:20 | U |
| terminology | threes | training | U |
| 13:4,22 | 36:17 | 11:14 12:4,10 62:16,17 | Uh-huh |
| terms | through | 63:6 | 41:15 45:20 |
| 54:4 | 9:15 11:3 17:1 22:8 | transcribed | unable |
| test | 67:6,16 74:7 | 76:7,18 77:7 | 56:18 |
| 16:16 17:6 47:1 59:4 | throughout | transcript | uncapable |
| 73:13 74:6 | 27:5 29:11 | 4:7 7:14 66:4 68:9 77:8 | 55:5 |
| testified | Thursday | 79:4 | under |
| 5:3 | 76:13,14 78:5 | transcripts | 16:19 20:6 34:10 49:8 |
| testify | time | 68:1 | 53:8 56:1 58:12 59:3 |
| 19:20 21:21 | 8:19 18:5 23:9 29:8,8 | Treats | 63:3,15 79:7 |
| testimony | 29:10 56:20 57:5,5,13 | 52:9 | underneath |
| 79:5,6 | 57:17 67:19 76:4 | trial | 25:19 |
| testing | times | 73:20 | understand |
| 46:19 47:6,7,9 48:8 | 44:5 | true | 6:5 14:1 18:8 19:14 |
| 66:22 72:17 | title | 33:18 79:4 | 26:2 42:18 46:3,6 |
| testosterone | 9:22 21:8 35:12 58:15 | truthfully | 49:18 55:18 62:16 |
| 53:10 | titled | 61:8 | understanding |
| text | 70:16 | try | 17:13 37:8 |
| 78:8 | TODD | 6:6 15:14 22:2 29:11 | unfit |
| Thank | 3:13 | 62:9 64:16 | 58:8 |
| 22:10 76:3 77:20 78:13 | together | trying | uniform |
| themselves | 15:14 | 20:12 54:15 55:17,18 | 35:10,18 |
| 63:9 | Tom | 63:11 | unit |
| theory | 5:13 | Tuesday | 15:2,5 |
| 70:6 | tone | 1:16 | United |
| thereafter | 33:5,16 | turn | 2:5 3:14 10:8 |
| 79:7 | took | 8:10 | unless |
| therefore | 76:3 | turned | 55:4 |
| 63:5 75:18 | tool | 40:5 | unnecessary |
| they'd | 30:1 | TV | 69:8 |
| 65:11 | top | 37:17 | unsigned |
| thing | 41:16 66:14 | two | 26:9 |
| 6:8 49:11 70:10 | total | 8:11 10:18 13:17 29:12 | until |
| things | 42:10 | 38:19,21 39:5,16,20 | $76: 14$ |


| 48:2,7,13 66:7 76:21 | 10:17,20 | 15:6,13 65:1,6,17 | 9:9,10,11 35:1 73:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| useful | Washington | works | 10/18/16 |
| 31:11 | 1:15 2:7 3:17 | 37:15,16 | 4:7 7:13 66:3 |
| using | way | worksheet | 10/31/14 |
| 31:1 43:8 51:9 58:2 | 17:5 22:3 37:16 54:9 | 33:22 | 21:17 |
| usual | 56:6 58:22 61:14 | wouldn't | 10:23 |
| 57:5 | 64:11 70:17 | 17:20 20:15,16 30:4 | 1:17 |
| usually | weeks | 31:675:19 | 100 |
| 29:11 70:17 | 12:5 | writing | 14:10,12 |
| U.S | went | 21:11,12,13 | 11 |
| 1:1,8 5:9 35:9 | 27:22 28:18 29:2 | written | 8:10 9:12,15 22:22 |
| V | 77:4 | 42:18 58:21,22 wrong | 26:2,21 33:22 11:41 |
| v | we're | 17:14 22:3 37:7 61:12 | 78:14 |
| 1:6 various | 13:22 41:9 49:10 56:2 | X | 125413 |
| various | 66:6 76:16,20 | X | 1:20 |
| 27:1 47:9 | We've |  | 14 |
| version | 7:10 | 1:3,12 4:6 59:3,5,9 | 12:5 |
| 47:22 | whatever | Y | 15 |
| Victoria | 64:9,12 74:18 | Y | 8:21 25:7,8,9 |
| 1:22 2:13 79:2,20 | WHEREOF |  | 16 |
| viewing | 79:13 | 58:19 | 8:21 |
| 29:22 | whether | yeah | 17 |
| violate | 32:18 51:8,9 55:9 | 9:19 30:21 36:5 62:13 | 8:21 |
| 64:11 65:2 | 60:10 62:17 70:8 | 65:11 78:3,7 | 1720 |
| violation | whoever | year | 3:7,8 |
| 64:14,19 | 70:12 71:13,15 | 12:22 13:16,17 14:9,10 | 175-802-20141257 |
| voice | whole | 14:12,17,20,21 29:11 | 7:1 |
| 33:10 55:20 | 29:6 57:12 68:10 | years | 18 |
| W | William | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 6,12,18,1812 \\ & 67: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $1: 168: 21 \quad 10: 6,6 \quad 18: 18$ |
| Wait | Wilson | yourself | 18th |
| $41: 845: 16$ | 1:22 2:14 79:2,20 | 5:8 | 41:1 |
| Wallace | Withholding | Z | 19 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 3: 134: 419: 15,19 \\ 21: 1953: 2256: 13 \end{array}$ | $60: 14$ | zero | 9:3 |
| 21:19 53:22 56:13 | within | $37 \cdot 142 \cdot 22 \cdot 43 \cdot 1844 \cdot 10$ | 1923 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:15,18 71:3 77:7,9 } \\ & 77: 12,15 \end{aligned}$ | 16:5,11 68:4 74:5 | $44: 11,14$ | 3:5 |
| want | without $56: 19.2270: 4$ | zeros | 2 |
| 31:1 46:5,14 49:9 50:3 | witness | 36:16 | 2 |
| 52:16 67:18 68:9 | 3:12 21:20 76:5 77:5 | 1 | 4:10 9:3,4,5,15 51:5,13 |
| 71:5,12 76:18,19 | 79:13 |  | 66:1,2,9,10,14 |
| 77:18 78:4 | word | 1:21 4:9 7:10,12 18:16 | 20 |
| wanted 18.560 .263 .17 | 31:2 48:22 78:2,10 | 23:1 30:20 | 9:3 |
| 18:5 60:2 63:17 | words | 1-G-15 | 2002 |
| wants | 50:3,4 55:21 | 1-G-15 $3: 7$ | 11:2,5,9 12:2,21 |
| 38:12 60:20 77:9 | working | $3: 7$ 10 | 2014 |
| War | working | 10 | 29:15 41:2 45:13 49:3 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

