


Thanks for stopping by our bulletin board.

Please take just a moment to register so you can post your own questions and reply to topics. It is free and takes only a minute to register. Just click on the <u>register link</u>







next newest topic | next oldest topic


Author	Topic: Antipolygraph websites
LouRovner Administrator	posted 10-22-2007 05:57 PM
	Most of the polygraph examiners I know feel that the antipolygraph websites do a great disservice to the public. This topic has been discussed extensively on the private
	board, but I think it's time that the general public hears what professional polygraph examiners have to say.
	IP: Logged
rnelson Member	posted 10-22-2007 06:36 PM
	Antipolygraph encourage all kinds of fear-based noncooperation that is unlikely to help any truthful person.
	IP: Logged
stat Member	posted 10-22-2007 06:43 PM
	Examiners have long lampooned the anti site as a folly, until more and more examinee's (people being tested) began attempting countermeasures and getting caught. Anymore, I (an examiner) take the site seriously as it has contributed to some very ugly situations where examinees trust the likes of George Maschke, a 2-time failed polygraph examinee and now foreign-living dissident (can you say a national security risk) man who has been giving out scientifically proven-to-be - ineffective "internet countermeasures." I heard a rumor once that the FBI used the site to weed out criminal-minded applicants by attaching steel cookies and scouring the personal info of viewers. I have also heard constant rumors of computer viruses bouncing around over there. Needless to say, there is much mystery and paranoia associated with the anti-siteand for good reasonas the information is wrong and scientifically verified to not work, the claims are lies, and yet there are still visitors and a sense of largess. In fact, there have long been polygraph examiners who have gone on their

message board and debunked the lies and foolishness, only to have them banished for reasons that their "anti-countermeasure" knowledge was not credible. In fact, just yesterday George Maschke (the foreigner) posted the identities of anonymous American polygraph examiners in the efforts to embarrass them----I suppose due to the flippency of some of the examiner's remarks regarding the hapless and empirically proven failure of George's internet polygraph countermeasures. George and a couple of his friends Gino (allegedly a 33 year old unmarried man living with his mother in upstate NY) and some other clowns who boast careers in intel and are probably manning the guardshack at toll roads---have all contributed to one of the greatest myths of law enforcement ---2nd only to sucking on a penny to thwart breathalizers.

[This message has been edited by stat (edited 10-22-2007).]

IP: Logged

LouRovner Administrator




Stat,

It's truly amazing that George Maschke, a guy who flunked two polygraph tests (and never passed even one) is telling people how to "beat" a polygraph test.

You gotta laugh.

IP: Logged

TaylorMember



I chose to post on Anti-Poly (AP) with the aka: Wonder Woman and not my real name as past examiners have received viruses after they posted on AP. Additionally, they would face a barrage of insults and circular rhetoric. I am not embarrassed and I am not a 'hack' (a chart roller) that is out to get everyone. A hack wouldn't take time to post on the AP site. I would have posted a response to them - but hey, I was banned from posting there.

On AP they try to teach ways to manipulate the polygraphs. I began posting on AP because I was concerned with so many examinees attempting to manipulate the polygraph results. When examinees get caught - police applicants lose out on jobs; polygraphs on other issues lose their money with an inconclusive or deceptive result; and sex offenders end up having their probation/parole status in jeopardy due to the measures taken.

Readers on this forum, examinees are not experts on polygraphs...neither are the people from the AP site. As polygraph examiners we review physiology - if you try to mess with your normal physiology we can see that.

If someone has a legitimate question about polygraphs, ask the POLYGRAPH EXAMINERS on this site not individuals who

have failed a polygraph. I stated numerous times on the AP site that they were doing a disservice to examinees. A Chief I work with on pre-employments stated if the applicant attempts to manipulate the polygraph, he won't waste more time on that applicant. Recently George Maschke told a person on AP to hide a past theft. I corrected him and was immediately banned from the site. Hopefully that reader takes my advice or he will have missed out an opportunity to become a law enforcement officer.

Believe it or not, as Polygraph Examiners we are only looking for the truth. The bottom line is you need to be honest on ALL polygraphs. In pre-employment exams, if there is something in your past, tell the background investigator or the polygraph examiner. Let the agency decide about your past knowing that you are honest and have integrity!

IP: Logged

lielabs Moderator



Hi,

I was posting on two anti polygraph websites before they merged into the current Ap site.

One thing they liked to spout (I think they still do) was they would never delete posts or censor comments by persons with the opposite view. That was the argument they used against this site.

However we see recently a lot of examiners being banned from posting because George did not like what they had to say, while he may have his reasons his hypocrisy is now evident

they cannot reasonably use such arguments anymore. They also deleted some of my posts when they merged AP sites.

Also it was my experience that they would embellish the truth if it made their story sound more plausible.

Anyway people who failed a polygraph with an axe to grind have totally unbiased opinions and should be believed. Even though some admit to lieing on their polygraph and to their potential employer but everything they say about polygraph is true because they would not lie to you even though they lied

everyone else in the hiring process sounds reasonable doesn't it.

IP: Logged

All times are PT (US)

next newest topic | next oldest topic

Administrative Options: Close Topic | Archive/Move | Delete Topic





Contact Us | The Polygraph Place

copyright 1999-2003. WordNet Solutions. All Rights Reserved

Powered by: Ultimate Bulletin Board, Version 5.39c © Infopop Corporation (formerly Madrona Park, Inc.), 1998 - 1999.